

PRI Reporting Framework

PRIVATE EQUITY

2025



This document is provided for the purposes of information only. It is not intended to be investment, legal, tax or any other form of advice, nor is it intended to be relied upon in making an investment or other decision. All content is provided with the understanding that the authors and publishers are not providing advice. PRI Association is not responsible for the content of websites or other information resources that may be referenced and does not endorse the information contained therein. PRI Association is not responsible for any errors or omissions, for any decision made or action taken based on information on this document or for any loss or damage arising from or caused by such decision or action. All information is provided "as-is" with no guarantee of completeness, accuracy or timeliness, or of the results obtained from the use of this information, and without warranty of any kind, expressed or implied.

Copyright © PRI Association Limited (2025). All rights reserved. This content may not be reproduced, or used for any other purpose, without the prior written consent of the PRI Association.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

POLICY	5
Investment guidelines [PE 1]	5
PE 1	5
FUNDRAISING	7
Commitments to investors [PE 2]	7
PE 2	7
PRE-INVESTMENT	9
Materiality analysis [PE 3, PE 3.1]	9
PE 3	9
PE 3.1	11
Due diligence [PE 4, PE 5]	13
PE 4	13
PE 5	16
POST-INVESTMENT	19
Monitoring [PE 6, PE 6.1, PE 7, PE 7.1, PE 8, PE 9, PE 10, PE 11, PE 12, PE 12.1]	19
PE 6	19
PE 6.1	22



PE 7	24
PE 7.1	27
PE 8	28
PE 9	29
PE 10	31
PE 11	32
PE 12	33
PE 12.1	36
Exit [PE 13]	37
PE 13	37
Disclosure of ESG portfolio information [PE 14]	40
PE 14	40



POLICY

INVESTMENT GUIDELINES [PE 1]

Indicator ID	Dependent on: OO 21	Sub-section	PRI Principle	Type of indicator					
PE 1	Gateway to:	N/A	Investment guidelines	1 to 6	CORE				
What private equity–specific ESG guidelines are currently covered in your organisation's responsible investment policy(ies)?									
☐ (A) Guidelines	on our ESG appro	each tailored to the sector(s) and	geography(ies) where we invest						
1 ' '			and company stage(s) where we invest, e.g. venture	capital, buy-out and di	stressed				
\ '	on pre-investment on minimum ESG	due diligence requirements							
` '			n or 100-day plans (or equivalent)						
, ,	• •	o ESG integration into long-term							
` '	• • •	o monitoring ESG risks, ESG op	portunities and ESG incidents						
` '	on our approach t								
O (I) Our respons	O (I) Our responsible investment policy(ies) does not cover private equity-specific ESG guidelines								
Additional contaxt to	Additional context to your response(s): [Voluntary free text: large]								
Additional context to	o your response(s) [voluntary free text: la	igej						

Explanatory notes

Purpose of indicator

This indicator aims to capture whether a signatory's responsible investment policy includes environmental, social and governance guidelines for its private equity investments. Many investors will have an organisation wide ESG or RI policy that covers all asset classes. A policy covering all asset classes is likely to leave space for interpretation regarding its application to private equity. Adapting this into specific ESG guidelines for an organisation's private equity investments and including the full scope of an organisation's ESG approach for its investments in private equity is considered good practice and will help align expectations and practices related to responsible investment in private equity.



Additional reporting	ESG guidelines specific to an organisation's private equity investments may appear in a standalone document or the overall policy document.							
guidance	A '100-day plan (or equivalent)' is a document that contains a list of activities the investor	A '100-day plan (or equivalent)' is a document that contains a list of activities the investor needs to undertake within the first 100 days of investment.						
	For further guidance, see An introduction to responsible investment: private equity.							
Other resources	For guidance on how to write an RI policy, refer to An introduction to responsible investme	ent: policy, structure and process.						
Logic								
Dependent on	[00 21]							
Gateway to	N/A							
Assessment								
	100 points for this indicator.							
	100 points for 7 or more selections from A–H; MUST include C.							
	75 points for 5–6 selections from A–H; MUST include C.	Further details:						
Assessment criteria	50 points for 3–4 selections from A–H; MUST include C.							
	25 points for 1–2 selections from A–H; MUST include C (OR 4–7 selections from A–H,	Selecting 'I' will result in 0/100 points for this indicator.						
	excluding C)							
	0 points for 1–3 selection from A–H, excluding C. 0 points for I.							
	o pointe for it							



FUNDRAISING

COMMITMENTS TO INVESTORS [PE 2]

Indicator ID	Dependent on:	00 21 N/A	Sub-section Commitments to investors	PRI Principle	Type of indicator		
For all of the funds that you closed during the reporting year, what type of formal responsible investment commitments did you make in Limited							

For all of the funds that you closed during the reporting year, what type of formal responsible investment commitments did you make in Limited Partnership Agreements (LPAs), side letters or other constitutive fund documents?

If you did not close any funds during this reporting year, refer to the last reporting year in which you closed funds, up to five years ago.

- O (A) We incorporated responsible investment commitments in LPAs (or equivalent) as a standard default procedure
- O (B) We added responsible investment commitments in LPAs (or equivalent) upon clients' request
- O (C) We added responsible investment commitments in side letters upon clients' request
- O (D) We did not make any formal responsible investment commitments for the relevant reporting year
- O (E) Not applicable; we have not raised funds in the last five years

Additional context to your response(s): _____ [Voluntary free text: large]

Explanatory notes	
Purpose of indicator	The purpose of this indicator is to understand whether and when a general partner (GP) has incorporated ESG and responsible investment (RI) commitments into fund-level legal documentation. It is considered good practice to formally commit to responsible investment in LPAs, side letters or other constitutive fund documents.
Additional reporting guidance	This indicator is only applicable to GPs who invest from fund structures. Signatories should report on any formal commitments related to responsible investment implementation and consideration of ESG issues that were either requested by LPs and included in LPAs, side letters and/or other constitutive fund documents or initiated by the GP.



	If no funds were closed during the reporting year, the last reporting year in which funds were closed (up to five years ago) should be referenced.						
Other resources	Refer to Incorporating responsible investment requirements into private equity fund terms for further guidance.						
Logic	Logic						
Dependent on	[00 21]						
Gateway to	N/A						
Assessment							
	100 points for this indicator.	Further details:					
Assessment criteria	100 points for A. 66 points for B. 33 points for C. 0 points for D. Selecting 'D' will result in 0/100 points for this indicator. Selecting 'E' means the indicator is scored as N/A. Signatories will not be penalised for this indicator.						
Multiplier	High						



PRE-INVESTMENT

MATERIALITY ANALYSIS [PE 3, PE 3.1]

Indicator ID	Dependent on: OO 21		Sub-section	PRI Principle	Type of indicator		
PE 3	Gateway to:	PE 3.1	Materiality analysis		1	CORE	
During the reporting year, how did you conduct ESG materiality analysis for your potential private equity investments?							
If signatories did no potential private eq		ential private equity investments	in the reporti	ng year, they should refer to the most re	cent reporting year in	which they analysed	
O (A) We assessed ESG materiality at the portfolio company level, as each case is unique [Dropdown list] (1) for all of our potential private equity investments (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments							
O (B) We perform materiality anal		try-level and portfolio company–	level ESG	[As above]			
O (C) We assess	O (C) We assessed ESG materiality at the industry level only [As above]						
O (D) We did not conduct ESG materiality analyses for our potential private equity investments							
Additional context t	o your response(s	s): [Voluntary free text: la	arge]				



Explanatory notes								
Purpose of indicator	This indicator aims to identify how a signatory conducts materiality analyses of ESG factors as a standard part of its due diligence process during the pre-investment phase by indicating the depth and quality of its materiality assessment. It is considered good practice to conduct materiality analyses at the portfolio company level, whether using internal or external resources.							
Additional reporting guidance	Signatories should indicate the proportion of potential private equity investments their ESG materiality analyses considered.							
Other resources	For information on materiality analyses, see the PRI b	For information on materiality analyses, see the PRI blog post on Using SASB to implement PRI monitoring and disclosure resources for private equity.						
Logic								
Dependent on	[00 21]							
Gateway to	[PE 3.1]							
Assessment								
	100 points for this indicator divided between lettered (settered and coverage answer options.	50 points) and	coverage (50 points) answer options. The final	score will be based on the highest-scoring combination of				
Assessment criteria	50 points for the lettered answer options: 50 points for A. 33 points for B. 16 points for C. 0 points for D.	AND	50 points for the coverage: 50 points for all (1). 25 points for a majority (2). 12 points for a minority (3).	Further details: Selecting 'D' will result in 0/100 points for this indicator and the following indicators: PE 3.1				
Multiplier	High							



Indicator ID	Dependent on:	PE 3	Sub-section	PRI Principle	Type of indicator			
PE 3.1	Gateway to:	N/A	Materiality analysis	1	CORE			
During the repo	rting year, what too	ols, standards and data did yo	u use in your ESG materiality analysis of potentia	I private equity inves	tments?			
•	not analyse any pot equity investments.	ential private equity investments	in the reporting year, they should refer to the most re	cent reporting year in v	which they analysed			
` '		form our private equity ESG mat inform our private equity ESG m	· · · · ·					
` '			inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis					
□ (D) We used	environmental and	. ,	Performance Standards (or other similar standards u	sed by development-fo	ocused financial			
☐ (E) We used materiality a		such as the TCFD recommenda	ations or other climate risk and/or exposure analysis to	ools, to inform our priva	ate equity ESG			
	_		Rights (UNGPs) to inform our private equity ESG mat	eriality analysis				
` '	• .		our private equity ESG materiality analysis					
` ,	ged with the prospe	ctive portfolio company to inform	our private equity ESG materiality analysis					
` '								
Specify:	[Mandatory free	text: smallj						
Additional conte	t to your response(s	s): [Voluntary free text: la	arge]					

Explanatory notes

Purpose of indicator

This indicator aims to capture the tools, standards or data an organisation uses to inform their materiality analysis of ESG factors as a standard part of its due diligence process, whether as part of an internal checklist or ESG tool or scorecard, during the pre-investment phase. This analysis may be completed internally using in-house tools or methodologies or externally via a service provider. It is considered good practice to use a range of tools and resources to ensure that in-depth ESG materiality assessments are conducted. The specific tools and resources used may vary depending on the context of the potential investment, e.g. industry sector and geography.



Additional reporting guidance	One way to conduct the private equity materiality analysis is by extracting information from the prospective company through direct engagement, which might take the form of a survey, either bespoke or using Invest Europe ESG DDQ, or other similar due diligence questionnaires. Links to various standards listed: Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) Standards Value Reporting Foundation (Sustainability Accounting Standards Board - SASB standards) Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) IFC Performance Standards UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs) UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)
Other resources	For information on materiality analysis, see the PRI blog post on Using SASB to implement PRI monitoring and disclosure resources for private equity.
Logic	
Dependent on	[PE 3]
Gateway to	N/A
Assessment	
Assessment criteria	100 points for this indicator. 100 points for 3 or more selections from A–H. 66 points for 2 selections from A–H. 33 points for 1 selection from A–H. 0 points for I.
'Other' scored as	Selecting Other (I) will not be counted by the scoring criteria, provided answer options have been identified as capturing good practice.
Multiplier	Moderate



DUE DILIGENCE [PE 4, PE 5]

Indicator ID	Dependent on:	00 21		Sub-section	PRI Principle	Type of indicator			
PE 4	Gateway to:	N/A		Due diligence	1	CORE			
During the reporti	During the reporting year, how did material ESG factors influence the selection of your private equity investments?								
If signatories did not select any private equity investments in the reporting year, they should refer to the most recent reporting year in which they selected private equity investments.									
				[Dropdown list]					
☐ (A) Material ES	□ (A) Material ESG factors were used to identify risks (1) for all of our potential private equity investments (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments					S			
☐ (B) Material ES equivalent)	()			[As above]					
☐ (C) Material ESG factors were used to identify remedial actions for our 100-day plans (or equivalent)				[As above]					
□ (D) Material ESG factors were used to identify opportunities for value creation [As above]									
investments in		d our decision to abandon poten phase in cases where ESG risks							
☐ (F) Material ES and/or paid	G factors impacte	d investments in terms of the pri	ice offered	[As above]					



O (G) Material ESG factors did not influence the selection of our private equity investments

Additional context to your response(s): _____ [Voluntary free text: large]

Explanatory notes							
Purpose of indicator	This indicator aims to understand whether an organisation includes ESG-related information in the investment selection process and ascertain the depth of the analysis performed. It is considered good practice for ESG factors to have played an integral part in decision-making for all private equity investment selections during the reporting year.						
	By private equity investment selection, we mean new p	orivate equity inv	vestments made during the reporting year.				
Additional reporting guidance	A '100-day plan (or equivalent)' is a document that con	tains a list of ac	ctivities the investor needs to undertake within the first	100 days of investment.			
	By 'our decision to abandon potential investments in the due diligence phase', we mean any decision that led to the abandonment of potential investments following issues that emerged during the due diligence phase. This decision would be completed after any screening of potential investments, with an exclusion list.						
Other resources	For further guidance, see An introduction to responsible	e investment: p	rivate equity.				
Logic							
Dependent on	[OO 21]						
Gateway to	N/A						
Assessment							
	100 points for this indicator divided between lettered (5 lettered and coverage answer options.	50 points) and c	overage (50 points) answer options. The final score wil	be based on the highest-scoring combination of			
	50 points for the lettered answer options:		50 points for the coverage:				
Assessment criteria	50 points for 5 or more selections from A–F; MUST include F. 33 points for 4 or more selections from A–F. 16 points for 2–3 selections from A–F. 0 points for 1 selection from A–F OR G.	AND	Per answer selection A to F, each option will be worth the following proportion: 50/5 points for all (1). 25/5 points for a majority (2). 12/5 points for a minority (3).	Further details: Selecting 'G' will result in 0/100 points for this indicator.			



Multiplier High	
-----------------	--



Indicator ID	Dependent on:	00 21		Sub-section	PRI Principle	Type of indicator		
PE 5	Gateway to:	N/A		Due diligence	1	CORE		
Once material ES investments?	Once material ESG factors have been identified, what processes do you use to conduct due diligence on these factors for potential private equity investments?							
	For investors taking minority stakes in private equity investments, answer options should be selected based on how they use their influence with portfolio companies, majority shareholder(s) and/or lead investors in co-investment situations to ensure that material ESG factors are fully identified and assessed to the extent possible.							
				[Dropdown list]				
☐ (A) We do a hiç red flags	— (', ' · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			(1) for all of our potential private equity investments(2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments(3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments				
☐ (B) We send de	etailed ESG quest	ionnaires to target companies		[As above]				
☐ (C) We hire thin material ESG f		ts to do technical due diligence o	on specific	[As above]				
□ (D) We conduc	t site visits			[As above]				
☐ (E) We conduct in-depth interviews with management and/or personnel		sonnel	[As above]					
☐ (F) We conduct detailed external stakeholder analyses and/or engagement		ngagement	[As above]					
investment pro		gence findings in all of our releva on in the same manner as other unting and legal		[As above]				



	(H) Our investment committee (or an equivalent decision-making body) is ultimately responsible for ensuring all ESG due diligence is completed in the same manner as for other key due diligence, e.g. commercial, accounting, and legal	[As above]				
	(I) Other Specify: [Mandatory free text: small]	[As above]				
0	(J) We do not conduct due diligence on material ESG factors for potential priva	te equity investments				
Ad	Additional context to your response(s): [Voluntary free text: large]					

Explanatory notes				
Purpose of indicator	This indicator aims to capture the processes an organisation uses to conduct due diligence on material ESG-related risks at the pre-investment stage. It also assesses what internal or external resources are allocated to such processes and how material ESG factors are used to identify opportunities to create value. It is considered good practice to carry out due diligence to a sufficient depth, such that material ESG-related risks can be identified and assessed, and ESG value creation opportunities can also be identified for action during the post-investment period. A minimum standard of ESG due diligence could be a combination of desk-based research on publicly available information and working knowledge of ESG impacts on the target industry and sector.			
Additional reporting guidance	'We conduct site visits' refers to physical visits made to the operational sites of prospective assets or portfolio companies. 'Third-party consultants' refers to organisations or persons to which participants outsource some or all of their ESG strategy formulation and/or implementation. For examples of ESG questionnaires that can be sent to target companies, refer to the ESG Due Diligence Questionnaire for Private Equity.			
Logic				
Dependent on	[00 21]			
Gateway to	N/A			
Assessment				



Assessment criteria	100 points for this indicator divided between lettered (50 points) and coverage (50 points) answer options. The final score will be based on the highest-scoring combination of lettered and coverage answer options.						
	50 points for the lettered answer options: 50 points for 4 or more selections from A–F AND both G and H. 33 points for 3 selections from A–F AND both G and H. 16 points for 2 or more selections from A–H. 0 points for 1 selection from A–I OR J.	AND	50 points for the coverage: Per answer selection A to H, each option will be worth the following proportion: 50/6 points for all (1). 25/6 points for a majority (2). 12/6 points for a minority (3).	Further details: Selecting 'J' will result in 0/100 points for this indicator. The assessment will be based on the highest-scoring combination of answer options.			
'Other' scored as	Selecting Other (I) will not be counted by the scoring criteria, provided answer options have been identified as capturing good practice. High						
Multiplier							



POST-INVESTMENT

MONITORING [PE 6, PE 6.1, PE 7, PE 7.1, PE 8, PE 9, PE 10, PE 11, PE 12, PE 12.1]

Indicator ID	Dependent on:	OO 21	Sub-section		PRI Principle	Type of indicator	
PE 6	PE 6 Gateway to: PE 6.1			Monitoring	1	CORE	
During the reporting year, did you track one or more KPIs on material ESG factors across your private equity investments?							
Refer to the number of portfolio companies, not the percentage of assets under management. We recognise that there is a period after the acquisition of an asset or portfolio company when ESG data may not be available. Where the intention is to introduce and track KPIs on material ESG factors, please indicate yes.							
Percentage of portfolio companies this applies to					olies to		
☐ (A) Yes, we tracked KPIs on environmental factors			(1) (2) (3) (4)	ropdown list] 2 >0 to 10% 2 >10 to 50% 3 >50 to 75% 3 >75 to 95% 3 >95%			
☐ (B) Yes, we tracked KPIs on social factors			[As	[As above]			
☐ (C) Yes, we tracked KPIs on governance factors			[As	[As above]			
O (D) We did not track KPIs on material ESG factors across our private equity investments							

Additional context to your response(s): _____ [Voluntary free text: large]

Explanatory notes								
Purpose of indicator	This indicator aims to capture an organisation's approach to ESG performance in actively monitoring KPIs on material ESG factors for their portfolio companies based on the material risks and opportunities identified during the due diligence process. It is considered good practice for signatories to include relevant factors from across the ESG spectrum in their performance monitoring. Collecting the performance of ESG metrics on an ongoing basis helps signatories better understand their assets' ESG performance, manage risks and report to clients.							
Other resources	For further guidance, see An introduction to responsible investment: private equity. For more information on ESG monitoring, see ESG monitoring, reporting and dialogue in private equity. For an example of an industry-led set of standardised ESG metrics, see ESG Data Convergence Project.							
Logic								
Dependent on	[00 21]							
Gateway to	[PE 6.1]							
Assessment								
	100 points for this indicator divided between lettered (5 and coverage answer options.	50 points) and co	overage (50 points) answer options. The final score will be	be based on the highest-scoring combination of lettered				
Assessment criteria	50 points for the lettered answer options: 50 points for all 3 selections from A–C. 33 points for 2 selections from A–C. 16 points for 1 selection from A–C. 0 points for D.	AND	50 points for the coverage: Per answer selection A to C, each option will be worth the following proportion: 50/3 points for (5) >95% 40/3 points for (4) >75 to 95% 30/3 points for (3) >50 to 75% 20/3 points for (2) >10 to 50% 10/3 points for (1) >0 to 10%	Further details: Selecting 'D' will result in 0/100 points for this indicator.				



Multiplier Moderate



Indicator ID	Dependent on:	PE 6	Sub-section	PRI Principle	Type of indicator			
PE 6.1	Gateway to:	N/A	Monitoring		PLUS VOLUNTARY TO DISCLOSE			
Provide examples of KPIs on material ESG factors you tracked across your private equity investments during the reporting year.								
(A) ESG KPI #1	[Voluntary f	ree text: small]						
(B) ESG KPI #2	[Voluntary f	ree text: small]						
(C) ESG KPI #3 _	(C) ESG KPI #3 [Voluntary free text: small]							
(D) ESG KPI #4 _	[Voluntary f	ree text: small]						
(E) ESG KPI #5	[Voluntary f	ree text: small]						
(F) ESG KPI #6	[Voluntary f	ree text: small]						
(G) ESG KPI #7 _	[Voluntary f	free text: small]						
(H) ESG KPI #8 _	[Voluntary f	ree text: small]						
(I) ESG KPI #9	[Voluntary fr	ee text: small]						
(J) ESG KPI #10 [Voluntary free text: small]								
Additional context	Additional context to your response(s): [Voluntary free text: large]							

Explanatory notes						
	Purpose of indicator	It is considered good practice for signatories to include relevant factors from across the ESG spectrum in their performance monitoring. Collecting the performance of ESG metrics on an ongoing basis helps signatories better understand their assets' ESG performance, manage risks and report to clients.				
	Other resources	For further guidance, see An introduction to responsible investment: private equity. For more information on ESG monitoring, see ESG monitoring, reporting and dialogue in private equity.				



	For an example of an industry-led set of standardised ESG metrics, see ESG Data Convergence Project.		
Logic			
Dependent on	[PE 6]		
Gateway to	N/A		
Assessment			
Not assessed			



Indicator ID	Dependent on: Gateway to:	OO 21 PE 7.1	Sub-section Monitoring	PRI Principle	Type of indicator		
What proces	What processes do you have in place to support meeting your targets on material ESG factors for your private equity investments?						

For investors with minority stakes in private equity investments, answer options should be selected based on how they use their influence with the portfolio companies, majority shareholder(s), and/or lead investors they engage with in co-investment situations to ensure that material ESG factors are actively managed to the extent possible.

(A) We use operational-level benchmarks to assess and analyse the performance of portfolio companies against sector performance	[Dropdown list](1) for all of our private equity investments(2) for a majority of our private equity investments(3) for a minority of our private equity investments
(B) We implement international best practice standards, such as the IFC Performance Standards, to guide ongoing assessments and analyses	[As above]
(C) We implement certified environmental and social management systems across our portfolio	[As above]
(D) We make sufficient budget available to ensure that the systems and procedures needed are established	[As above]
(E) We hire external verification services to audit performance, systems, and procedures	[As above]
(F) We conduct ongoing engagement with all key stakeholders at the portfolio company level, e.g. local communities, NGOs, governments, and end-users	[As above]
(G) We implement 100-day plans, ESG roadmaps and similar processes	[As above]



	(H) Other Specify: [Mandatory free text: small]	[As above]				
0	O (I) We do not have processes in place to help meet our targets on material ESG factors for our private equity investments					
Ad	Additional context to your response(s): [Voluntary free text: large]					

Explanatory notes	Explanatory notes						
Purpose of indicator	This indicator aims to capture the depth of processes and resources an organisation implements to help meet their targets on material ESG factors. Actively managing ESG performance requires organisations to adopt a range of potential processes and ensure that adequate resources are provided. The specific processes and level of resources will depend on the context of the portfolio company. In all cases, however, these processes and resources should be regularly assessed and adjusted according to their effectiveness to meet the given targets on material ESG factors for all private equity investments.						
Other resources For further guidance, see An introduction to responsible investment: private equity. For further guidance, see the BII (formerly CDC Group) ESG toolkit.							
Logic							
Dependent on	[00 21]						
Gateway to	[PE 7.1]						
Assessment	'						
	100 points for this indicator divided between lettere lettered and coverage answer options.	d (50 points) and	coverage (50 points) answer options. The final score wi	Il be based on the highest-scoring combination of			
Assessment criteria 50 points for the lettered answer options: 50 points for 5 or more selections from A–G. 33 points for 4 selections from A–G. 16 points for 2–3 selections from A–G. 0 points for 1 selection from A–H OR I.		50 points for the coverage: Per answer selection A to G, each option will be worth the following proportion: 50/5 points for all (1). 25/5 points for a majority (2).	Further details: Selecting 'l' will result in 0/100 points for this indicator.				



			12/5 points for a minority (3).	
'Other' scored as	Selecting Other (H) will not be counted by the scoring criteria, provided answer options have been identified as capturing good practice.			
Multiplier	Moderate			

Indicator ID PE 7.1	Dependent on:	PE 7	Sub-section Monitoring	PRI Principle	Type of indicator PLUS VOLUNTARY TO DISCLOSE	
For investors with n	ninority stakes in _l	private equity investments, resp	reporting year to help meet your targets on material conses should be based on how they use their influence then situations to ensure that material ESG factors are	e with the portfolio cor		
(A) Process one [Voluntary free text: large] (B) Process two [Voluntary free text: large]						

Explanatory notes	Explanatory notes					
Purpose of indicator	This indicator provides the signatory with the opportunity to expand upon interesting, innovative or leading examples of processes used to meet their targets on material ESG factors, allowing signatories to share different practices and experiences in managing material ESG risks and opportunities.					
Additional reporting guidance						
Other resources	her resources For further guidance, see An introduction to responsible investment: private equity.					
Logic						
Dependent on	[PE 7]					
Gateway to	Gateway to N/A					
Assessment						
Not assessed	Vot assessed					



Indicator ID	Dependent on:	00 21	Sub-section	PRI Principle	Type of indicator
PE 8	Gateway to:	N/A	Monitoring	1, 2	PLUS VOLUNTARY TO DISCLOSE

Describe material ESG risks and ESG opportunities that you integrate into your 100-day plans, including those accountable for their successful completion and how the process is monitored.

[Voluntary free text: large]

Explanatory notes			
Purpose of indicator Purpose of indicator It is important that ESG is sufficiently integrated into the investment process and that the standard toolkit of the private equity investment and value creation process is utilised 100-day plan is part of this toolkit. If material ESG risks and opportunities are not included in a 100-day plan, there is a risk that significant issues discovered during due diligation not receive enough immediate attention.			
Additional reporting guidance	Signatories should use this opportunity to illustrate how their ESG due diligence findings are linked to actions taken at portfolio companies by investment teams and other professionals. A '100-day plan (or equivalent)' is a document that contains a list of activities the investor needs to undertake within the first 100 days of investment.		
Other resources	For more information on ESG monitoring, see ESG monitoring, reporting and dialogue in private equity.		
Logic			
Dependent on	[00 21]		
Gateway to	N/A		
Assessment			
Not assessed			



	Indicator ID	Dependent on:	00 21		Sub-section	PRI Principle	Type of indicator	
	PE 9	Gateway to:	N/A		Monitoring	1, 2	CORE	
Post-investment, how do you manage material ESG risks and ESG opportunities to create value during the holding						g period of your inves	stments?	
cor	For investors with minority stakes in private equity investments, answer options should be selected based on how they use their influence with the portfolio companies, majority shareholder(s), and/or lead investors they engage with in co-investment situations to ensure that material ESG factors are actively managed to the extent possible.							
					[Dropdown list]			
☐ (A) We develop company-specific ESG action plans based on pre-investmen research, due diligence and materiality findings			e-investment	(1) for all of our private equity investments(2) for a majority of our private equity investments(3) for a minority of our private equity investments				
	(B) We adjust of findings at least	•	ns based on performance monit	oring	[As above]			
	` '		that we hire, support our private lue-creation opportunities	equity	[As above]			
	☐ (D) We engage with the board to manage ESG risks and ESG opportunities post-investment			portunities	[As above]			
☐ (E) Other Specify: [Mandatory free text: small]				[As above]				
0	O (F) We do not manage material ESG risks and opportunities post-investment							
Add	Additional context to your response(s): [Voluntary free text: large]							



Explanatory notes						
This indicator aims to capture how an organisation uses the management of material ESG factors to create value during the holding period of an investment. It is considered practice for investors to develop ESG action plans that can be updated according to ongoing performance to create value during the holding period of an investment. Purpose of indicator ESG risks and ESG opportunities should be re-assessed continuously to better understand the performance of the investment portfolio and to identify areas for continuous improvement in their management. A structural approach allows the signatory to assess the ESG performance within and among portfolios and potentially assess the performance of the investment management.						
Other resources	For further guidance, see An introduction to responsible investment: private equity. For more information, see the BII (formerly CDC Group) guidance on ESG action plans.					
Logic						
Dependent on	[00 21]					
Gateway to	N/A					
Assessment						
	100 points for this indicator divided between lettered (50 points) and coverage (50 points) answer options. The final score will be based on the highest-scoring combination of lettered and coverage answer options.					
Assessment criteria	50 points for the lettered answer options: 50 points for 3 or more selections from A–D. 33 points for 2 selections from A–D. 16 points for 1 selection from A–D. 0 points for E, F.	AND	50 points for the coverage: When selecting 'A' to 'D', each option will be worth the following proportion: 50/3 points for all (1). 25/3 points for a majority (2). 12/3 points for a minority (3).	Further details: Selecting 'F' will result in 0/100 points for this indicator.		
'Other' scored as	Selecting Other (E) will not be counted by the scoring	g criteria, provide	ed answer options have been identified as capturing good	d practice.		
Multiplier	High					



Indicator ID	Dependent on:	00 21	Sub-section Monitoring	PRI Principle	Type of indicator			
PE 10	Gateway to:	N/A			PLUS VOLUNTARY TO DISCLOSE			
Describe how you	Describe how you ensure that material ESG risks are adequately addressed in the private equity investments in which you hold a minority stake.							
[Voluntary free text: large]								

Explanatory notes	Explanatory notes						
Purpose of indicator	This indicator provides an opportunity for minority investors to describe their attempts to work with other investors or partners to ensure that material ESG risks are adequately addressed in their private equity investments. Even where minority investors are not in a position to directly control investee company consideration and the management of ESG risks, it is considered good practice to work with other investors and partners to develop a common agenda or approach to ESG and support measures – to the extent possible – to help implement that agenda.						
Logic							
Dependent on	[00 21]						
Gateway to	N/A						
Assessment							
Not assessed	Not assessed						



Indicator ID PE 11	Dependent on: OO 21	Sub-section	PRI Principle	Type of indicator			
	Gateway to:	N/A	Monitoring	2	PLUS VOLUNTARY TO DISCLOSE		
Describe how your ESG action plans are currently defined, implemented and monitored throughout the investment period.							
[Voluntary free text: large]							

Explanatory notes	Explanatory notes						
Purpose of indicator	This indicator provides the signatory with the opportunity to describe what practices they use in ESG action plans throughout the investment period and how they are used to creat value and manage risks. It is important to have appropriate systems in place to manage issues on an ongoing basis. One of the key processes includes writing an action plan for each portfolio company to identify ways to improve ESG performance.						
Other resources	For further guidance, see An introduction to responsible investment: private equity.						
Other resources	For more information, see the BII (formerly CDC Group) guidance on ESG action plans.						
Logic							
Dependent on	on [OO 21]						
Gateway to N/A							
Assessment	Assessment						
Not assessed	Not assessed						



Indicator ID PE 12	Dependent on: Gateway to:	OO 21 PE 12.1		Sub-section Monitoring		Type of indicator		
How do you ensu	low do you ensure that adequate ESG-related competence exists at the portfolio company level?							
companies, major	For investors with minority stakes in private equity investments, answer options should be selected based on how they use their influence with the portfolio companies, majority shareholder(s), and/or lead investors they engage with in co-investment situations to ensure that adequate ESG-related competence exists at the portfolio company level.							
□ (A) We assign	□ (A) We assign the board responsibility for ESG matters (1) for all of our private equity investments (2) for a majority of our private equity investments (3) for a minority of our private equity investments							
☐ (B) We ensure yearly	e that material ESC	6 matters are discussed by the b	ooard at least	[As above]				
	☐ (C) We provide training on ESG aspects and management best practices relevant to the portfolio company to C-suite executives only			[As above]				
☐ (D) We provide training on ESG aspects and management best practices relevant to the portfolio company to employees (excl. C-suite executives)			•	[As above]				
☐ (E) We support the portfolio company in developing and implementing its ESG strategy			enting its	[As above]				
☐ (F) We support portfolio companies by finding external ESG expertise, e.g. consultants or auditors			[As above]					



	(G) We share best practices across portfolio companies, e.g. educational sessions or the implementation of environmental and social management systems	[As above]				
	(H) We include penalties or incentives to improve ESG performance in management remuneration schemes	[As above]				
	(I) Other Specify: [Mandatory free text: small]	[As above]				
0	Company level (J) We do not ensure that adequate ESG-related competence exists at the portfolio company level					
Ad	Additional context to your response(s): [Voluntary free text: large]					

Explanatory notes						
Purpose of indicator This indicator aims to assess how the signatory ensures that adequate ESG-related competence exists at the portfolio company level through its strategy, policy and train It is considered good practice to ensure adequate ESG-related competence exists at the portfolio company level, including ensuring that material ESG factors receive bot attention for all private equity investments. These practices should be regularly reviewed and adapted depending on performance and overall needs.						
Additional reporting guidance						
Logic						
Dependent on [OO 21]						
Gateway to [PE 12.1]						
Assessment	ssessment					



	100 points for this indicator divided between lettered (50 points) and coverage (50 points) answer options. The final score will be based on the highest-scoring combination of lettered and coverage answer options.						
Assessment criteria	50 points for the lettered answer options: 50 points for 5 or more selections from A–H; MUST include 1 or more selections from A, B. 33 points for 4 selections from A–H OR 5 or more selections from C-H. 16 points for 2–3 selections from A–H. 0 points for 1 selection from A–I OR J.	AND	50 points for the coverage: Per answer selection A to H, each option will be worth the following proportion: 50/5 points for all (1). 25/5 points for a majority (2). 12/5 points for a minority (3).	Further details: Selecting 'J' will result in 0/100 points for this indicator.			
'Other' scored as	Selecting Other (I) will not be counted by the scoring criteria, provided answer options have been identified as capturing good practice.						
Multiplier	High						



Indicator ID PE 12.1	Dependent on: Gateway to:	PE 12	Sub-section Monitoring	PRI Principle	Type of indicator PLUS VOLUNTARY TO DISCLOSE		
Describe up to two initiatives taken as part of your ESG competence-building efforts at the portfolio company level during the reporting year. Signatories should use this opportunity to further describe the answer options they selected in the previous indicator.							
Signatories should use this opportunity to further describe the answer options they selected in the previous indicator. (A) Initiative 1 [Voluntary free text: large] (B) Initiative 2 [Voluntary free text: large]							

Explanatory notes	Explanatory notes							
Purpose of indicator	This indicator provides signatories with the opportunity to expand upon examples of what they believe are interesting, innovative or leading practices in their ESG competence-building efforts.							
Additional reporting guidance Signatories could include details on how and why certain processes were chosen, who had responsibility for implementing them and their success and/or least application. Signatories could also highlight how they use their influence to engage with portfolio companies to ensure that material ESG factors receive due is continuous improvement in ESG competence.								
Logic								
Dependent on	[PE 12]							
Gateway to	N/A							
Assessment								
Not assessed								



EXIT [PE 13]

Indicator ID	Dependent on:	00 21	_	Sub-section Exit	PRI Principle	Type of indicator	
PE 13	Gateway to:	N/A		EXIT	4, 6	CORE	
During the reporting year, what responsible investment information was shared with potential buyers of private equity investments?							
				[Dropdown list]			
☐ (A) Our firm's h are a PRI signa	•	nent to responsible investment, o	e.g. that we	(1) for all of our private equity investments(2) for a majority of our private equity investments(3) for a minority of our private equity investments			
☐ (B) A description with, e.g. TCFI	-	and asset class standards our	firm aligns	[As above]			
, ,	esponsible investr m-specific approa	ment policy (at minimum, a sumr	mary of key	[As above]			
□ (D) Our firm's E and/or with ext		ent methodology (topics covered	d in-house	[As above]			
☐ (E) The outcome company				[As above]			
□ (F) Key ESG p	☐ (F) Key ESG performance data on the asset or portfolio company being sold			[As above]			
□ (G) Other Specify:	_ [Mandatory free	text: small]		[As above]			



0	(H) No responsible investment information was shared with potential buyers of private equity investments during the reporting year					
0	(I) Not applicable; we had no sales process (or control over the sales process) during the reporting year					
Ad	Additional context to your response(s): [Voluntary free text: large]					

Explanatory notes					
Purpose of indicator	This indicator aims to capture whether the signatory has included ESG-related information in its sales process. It is considered good practice to disclose ESG-related information regarding private equity investments to potential buyers prior to exit. This information sharing increases the completeness of data available to the buyer and shows good stewardship on behalf of the seller. Providing evidence of good ESG practice to a potential buyer of a portfolio company can give the buyer greater visibility of potential ESG risks and opportunities, enabling them to				
	make a more informed decision about the portfolio company and its value and avoid potential legal or reputational issues due to the non-disclosure of material ESG factors.				
Other resources	ther resources For further guidance, see An introduction to responsible investment: private equity.				
Logic					
Dependent on	[00 21]				
Gateway to	N/A				
Assessment					
Assessment criteria	100 points for this indicator divided between lettered (50 points) and coverage (50 points) answer options. The final score will be based on the highest-scoring combination of lettered and coverage answer options.				



	50 points for the lettered answer options:		50 points for the coverage:	Further details:		
	50 points for 4 or more selections from A–F; MUST include 1 or more selections from D–F. 33 points for 3 selections from A–F. 16 points for 1–2 selections from A–F. 0 points for G, H.	AND	Per answer selection A to F, each option will be worth the following proportion: 50/4 points for all (1). 25/4 points for a majority (2). 12/4 points for a minority (3).	Selecting 'H' will result in 0/100 points for this indicator. Selecting 'I' means the indicator is scored as N/A. Signatories will not be penalised for this indicator.		
'Other' scored as	Selecting Other (G) will not be counted by the scoring criteria, provided answer options have been identified as capturing good practice.					
Multiplier	Moderate					



DISCLOSURE OF ESG PORTFOLIO INFORMATION [PE 14]

Indicator ID PE 14	Dependent on:	00 21	Sub-section Disclosure of ESG portfolio information	PRI Principle	Type of indicator		
	Gateway to:	N/A					
During the reporting year, how did you report your targets on material ESG factors and related data to your investors?							
☐ (A) We used a publicly disclosed sustainability report							
☐ (B) We reported in aggregate through formal reporting to investors							
☐ (C) We reported at the portfolio company level through formal reporting to investors							
□ (D) We reported through a limited partners advisory committee (or equivalent)							
☐ (E) We reported back at digital or physical events or meetings with investors							
☐ (F) We had a process in place to ensure that reporting on serious ESG incidents occurred							
□ (G) Other							
Specify: [Mandatory free text: small]							
O (H) We did not report our targets on material ESG factors and related data to our investors during the reporting year							
Additional context to your response(s): [Voluntary free text: large]							

Explanatory notes

This indicator aims to understand a signatory's specific approach to the communication of targets on material ESG factors and related data to its private equity investments and allows signatories to demonstrate the level of transparency concerning their responsible investment practices. It is considered good practice for signatories to disclose information about their investment activities to the public and investors annually or more frequently.

Purpose of indicator

Private market investments are less transparent than public investment markets. As a result, private market investment managers have an additional responsibility to be transparent to investors and proactively report ESG-related portfolio information. In addition, some jurisdictions are currently developing regulations requiring investment managers to report some ESG-related portfolio information to their clients.



Reference to other standards	For an example of an industry-led set of standardised ESG metrics, see ESG Data Convergence Project.				
Logic					
Dependent on	[00 21]				
Gateway to	N/A				
Assessment					
Assessment criteria	100 points for this indicator. 100 points for 5 or more selections from A–F; MUST include A. 66 points for 4 selections from A–F; MUST include A. 33 points for 1–3 selections from A–F; MUST include A (OR 2–5 or more selections from B–F). 0 points for 1 selection from B–G OR H.	Further details: Selecting 'H' will result in 0/100 points for this indicator.			
'Other' scored as	Selecting Other (G) will not be counted by the scoring criteria, provided answer options have been identified as capturing good practice.				
Multiplier	Moderate				

