

ESG Incorporation in Direct Lending &

This online survey is for **direct lending** investors who act as general partners (GPs) and/or limited partners (LPs).

Before completing it, PLEASE READ THE INSTRUCTIONS below.

The information gathered through this survey will inform part of the content of a report that the Principles for Responsible Investment (PRI) plans to publish in 2023 to assess the state of incorporation of environmental, social and governance (ESG) factors in investment decisions and deal valuations as well as the main challenges that private lenders are encountering.

The survey results are anonymous. They will be analyzed collectively and will be used only for the purpose of the report. No attribution to specific organizations will be made nor data will be shared with regulators. None of the raw data will be shared outside the PRI and CRISIL, the consultant that PRI has appointed to conduct the results' analysis who is bound by a Non-Disclosure Agreement.

Direct lending is an investment strategy where non-bank entities lend their capital directly to companies. It currently represents the largest portion of the private debt market. Under the definition of direct lending, we include both:

- § bilateral deals with borrowers; and
- § transactions with private equity sponsors.

In filling in the survey, please consider what applies to:

- § **Mainstream** funds, i.e. those that focus on *maximizing* risk-adjusted financial returns.
- § **Sustainability** funds, i.e. those that your organization *markets* as such, and that in addition to *considering* risk-adjusted financial returns, also aim at taking action on sustainability outcomes (the positive and negative effects of investment activities on people and/or the planet. For more information about sustainability outcomes, please see www.unpri.org/reporting-framework-glossary).

The survey is split in 6 sections and should take you about 15-20 minutes to complete in total.

We are aware that you are all pressed with time and may experience survey fatigue. With this in mind, we have constructed the questionnaire, to the extent possible, in a multiple-choice

format, with very few open-ended questions.

Questions marked with a red asterisk * are mandatory. Multiple choice answers that are listed with a circle require only one selection, those listed with a square may allow for more. At the end of the survey, you can add additional comments.

Once you have started the survey, you can go back to the sections that you have completed and modify them but, if you leave the survey before completing it fully and submitting the answers, you will lose your inputs.

1.	How	do	vou	identify	/ vour	organisation? *
			,		, , – – –	0.94

- General Partner (GP)
- Limited Partner (LP)

Section A. GP - General questions

2.	AUM throughout the firm (incl. all the funds and asset strategies) (US\$ billion) *
	n.b. Assets Under Management (AUM)
3.	AUM in direct lending strategy (US\$ billion) *
4.	Role in the organisation of the person filling the questionnaire (no individual names) *

5. He a	adquarters of the organisation *
\bigcirc	North America
\bigcirc	Latin America
\bigcirc	Europe
\bigcirc	Australia
\bigcirc	Asia-Pacific
\bigcirc	The Middle East & Africa
\bigcirc	Other
	ich are the geographical regions where you have the largest
dire	ich are the geographical regions where you have the largest ect lending exposure? * ose minimum 1, maximum 3 options
Choo	ect lending exposure? *
Choo	ect lending exposure? * ose minimum 1, maximum 3 options
Choo	ect lending exposure? * ose minimum 1, maximum 3 options se select at most 3 options.
Choo	ect lending exposure? * ose minimum 1, maximum 3 options se select at most 3 options. North America
Choo	ect lending exposure? * ose minimum 1, maximum 3 options se select at most 3 options. North America Latin America
Choo	ect lending exposure? * ose minimum 1, maximum 3 options se select at most 3 options. North America Latin America Europe
Choo	ect lending exposure? * ose minimum 1, maximum 3 options se select at most 3 options. North America Latin America Europe Australia

Please select at most 3 options. **Communications Services** Consumer Discretionary **Consumer Staples** Energy Financials Healthcare Industrials ΙT Materials Real Estate Utilities Other

7. Which of these sectors form part of your direct lending strategy?

8.	-	you have an ESG due diligence process for underwriting direct ling transactions? *
	\bigcirc	Yes
	\bigcirc	No
	\bigcirc	In development
9.	For	which funds do you have an ESG due diligence process? *
		he definition of sustainability and mainstream funds, please refer to the duction at the start of the survey. Your progress here will be saved.
	\bigcirc	Only sustainability funds
	\bigcirc	Only mainstream funds
	\bigcirc	Both sustainability and mainstream funds
10.	trac env	mainstream funds, in addition to governance, which is litionally considered in credit underwriting, do you assess ironmental and social factors that are material to your estment separately? *
	\bigcirc	All
	\bigcirc	Majority
	\bigcirc	On a case-by-case basis

Section B. GP - Investor engagement (with borrowers)

Engagement is any communication or activity conducted with borrowers and other stakeholders (*PRE OR POST CLOSING OF THE TRANSACTION*) in order to gain insight into the company's management of ESG factors and relevant stakeholders. The aims of engagement may vary widely. Some GPs may seek to simply collect data for benchmarking and valuation purposes, while others may seek to improve the borrower's performance on certain metrics.

11.	Do 3	you engage with borrowers on ESG topics? *
	\bigcirc	Yes, for data collection
	\bigcirc	Yes, to improve borrowers' performance on ESG metrics
	\bigcirc	Yes, for all of the above
	\bigcirc	No, we do not engage
12.	If ye	es, please specify for which funds: *
		he definition of sustainability and mainstream funds, please refer to the duction at the start of the survey. Your progress here will be saved.
	\bigcirc	Only sustainability funds
	\bigcirc	Only mainstream funds
	\bigcirc	Both sustainability and mainstream funds

13.	For	sustainability funds, to what extent: *
	\bigcirc	With all borrowers
	\bigcirc	With some borrowers
14.	For	mainstream funds, to what extent: *
	\bigcirc	With all borrowers
	\bigcirc	With some borrowers
	\bigcirc	N/A (if you selected "Only sustainability funds")
15.		at do investment teams use to engage on ESG topics with rowers? *
	Pleas	e select at most 2 options.
		Assess ESG performance for benchmarking/valuation/pricing purposes
		Identify lapses in governance
		Provide access to lenders' in-house ESG resources
		Set sustainability KPIs
		Understand how the borrower is managing material ESG risks

16.		ich do you think is the most significant stage for engaging on topics with borrower entities? *
	\bigcirc	Pre-close for due diligence and underwriting
	\bigcirc	Post-close for ESG KPI setting
	\bigcirc	During the monitoring phase
	\bigcirc	At the refinancing stage
17.		engagement on ESG topics, do you have any additional nments? (optional)
18.		at are the various tools/guidance that investment teams use ingage on ESG topics with borrowers? *
18.		_
18.		ngage on ESG topics with borrowers? *
18.		Pre-set questionnaire on ESG data collection

19.		at is the frequency of engagem rower entities? *	ent or	n ESG issues with
	\bigcirc	Quarterly		
	\bigcirc	Semi-annually		
	\bigcirc	Annually		
	\bigcirc	Monthly		
	\bigcirc	Other		
20.	Wh	ich mode(s) of engagement do 14 Latest Respor Responses "We have the strongest ability to influence ir	you u	se for ESG issues? *
		0%) answered ESG for this question. risk and opportunity		
		close ESG enga borrov Mail / email / survey correspondence		In-person meetings
		Online meetings or conference calls (Zoom, Google meets, etc.)		Virtual data gathering platforms

21.	Hov	v do you report mostly on engagement with borrowers? *
	\bigcirc	Through due diligence questionnaires
	\bigcirc	Upon request
	\bigcirc	Via publicly-available ESG/sustainability reports
	\bigcirc	Other
22.	For	publicly-available ESG/sustainability reports, please specify: *
	\bigcirc	Fund-level information
	\bigcirc	By issuer

Section C. GP - ESG data collection

23.	-	you collect borrowers' ESG data as part of your direct lending tegy? *
	\bigcirc	Yes
	\bigcirc	No
24.	For th	es, please specify for which funds: * the definition of sustainability and mainstream funds, please refer to the duction at the start of the survey. Your progress here will be saved.
	\bigcirc	Only sustainability funds
	\bigcirc	Mainstream funds
	\bigcirc	Both
25.	For	sustainability funds, to what extent: *
	\bigcirc	For all deals
	\bigcirc	For some deals

26.	For	mainstream funds, to what extent: *
	\bigcirc	For all deals
	\bigcirc	For some deals
	\bigcirc	N/A (if you selected "Only sustainability funds")
27.	Wha	at is the main purpose of collecting the ESG data? *
	\bigcirc	Due diligence for risk assessment
	\bigcirc	LPs' requests
	\bigcirc	Management of sustainability-outcomes (e.g KPI setting)
	\bigcirc	Regulation requirements
	\bigcirc	Other
28.	Ву ч	which means do you collect ESG data? *
		Data and service providers
		External consultants
		In-house proprietary frameworks
		Industry templates
		Other

29.	Whi	ch industry template do you use? *
		ESG Data Convergence
		ESG Integrated Disclosure Project
		IFRS/SASB
		Global Impact Investing Network
		Other
30.		GP, with which frequency do you typically disclose key tfolio ESG metrics to LPs?
30.	por	
30.	por	tfolio ESG metrics to LPs?
30.	por	tfolio ESG metrics to LPs? Quarterly
30.	por	Quarterly Semi-annually
30.	port *	Quarterly Semi-annually Annually
30.	port *	Quarterly Semi-annually Annually Never

31.	What are the major challenges for achieving accuracy of ESG data from borrowers?	
	Sele	ect top <u>three</u> options. *
	Pleas	e select 3 options.
		Borrower limited awareness of ESG relevant issues or data disclosure
		Different regional perceptions of ESG issues
		No standardization on disclosure
		Other lenders with lower or no ESG disclosure thresholds
		Varying regulatory requirements
		Other
32.		you validate the accuracy of the ESG data provided by the rowers? *
	\bigcirc	Yes
	\bigcirc	No
33.		o is responsible for the validation of the data provided by the rowers? *

34.		ch of the following options would you prioritize to make ESG collection and presentation more efficient? *
	\bigcirc	Increased collaboration among peer lenders
	\bigcirc	Leveraging data from different participants in a private deal lifecycle
	\bigcirc	Standardization of frameworks for direct lending deals
	\bigcirc	Specifications in legal document
	\bigcirc	Other
35.		you working on any of the following ways to improve the ESG a collection and quality from your portfolio companies? *
	Pleas	
		e select at most 2 options.
		e select at most 2 options. Guiding borrowers on ESG data collection
		Guiding borrowers on ESG data collection
		Guiding borrowers on ESG data collection Increasing frequency of engagement on ESG topics Requiring portfolio companies to align with specific frameworks for standardising
		Guiding borrowers on ESG data collection Increasing frequency of engagement on ESG topics Requiring portfolio companies to align with specific frameworks for standardising data outputs
		Guiding borrowers on ESG data collection Increasing frequency of engagement on ESG topics Requiring portfolio companies to align with specific frameworks for standardising data outputs Providing borrower with feedback on the data collected based on in-house analysis. Participating in international collaboration with industry council/associations for

36.		es the quality of data collected vary based on the <u>sector</u> in ch the portfolio company operates? *
	\bigcirc	Yes
	\bigcirc	No
37.	Plea	se list the 3 best <u>sectors</u> in terms of quality of data. *
	Pleas	e select at most 3 options.
		Communication services
		Consumer discretionary
		Consumer staples
		Energy
		Financials
		Healthcare
		Industrials
		IT
		Materials
		Real Estate
		Utilities
		Other

38. Do you monitor ESG incidents? *		
	\bigcirc	Yes
	\bigcirc	No
39.	Wha	at do you use the most to monitor ESG incidents? *
	\bigcirc	In-house data mining
	\bigcirc	Inputs from third-party data providers
	\bigcirc	ESG ratings
	\bigcirc	Press and other news outlets
	\bigcirc	Other
40.	Do	you set ESG targets for borrowers? *
	\bigcirc	Yes
	\bigcirc	No

41. I	41. How often is the borrowers' progress on ESG targets reviewed? *		
(\bigcirc	Quarterly	
(\bigcirc	Semi-annually	
(\bigcirc	Annually	
(\bigcirc	Other	

Section D. GP - Consideration of climate risk for investment decision making

42.		GP, do you measure and manage climate risk when making stment decisions? *
		Yes
	\bigcirc	No
43.	. If ye:	s, please specify for which funds:
43.	* For the	s, please specify for which funds: e definition of sustainability and mainstream funds, please refer to the uction at the start of the survey. Your progress here will be saved.
43.	* For the introd	e definition of sustainability and mainstream funds, please refer to the
43.	* For the introd	e definition of sustainability and mainstream funds, please refer to the uction at the start of the survey. Your progress here will be saved.

44.	 What is your approach to considering climate risk in your investment process? Select top two options. * 		
	Please select 2 options.		
		Evaluation of borrower's estimated carbon emissions based on sector	
		Evaluation of borrower's reported carbon emissions, on a case-by-case basis	
		Assessment of borrower's current and forward-looking emissions, incorporating any decarbonization targets, on a case-by-case basis	
		Systematic methodology and process for measuring climate risk using multiple data points, e.g., through a questionnaire and measurement framework	
		Other	
45.	Fina	what stage of alignment to the Task Force on Climate-Related incial Disclosures (TCFD) reporting are your direct lending d(s)? *	
	\bigcirc	Preparing qualitative disclosures aligned or aligning to TCFD	
	\bigcirc	Preparing quantitative disclosures aligned or aligning to TCFD	
	\bigcirc	Exploring/sourcing guidance on alignment to TCFD	
	\bigcirc	No alignment yet	
	\bigcirc	Other	

46.		you undertaking any initiative for Scope 3 (financed) ssion calculation? *
	\bigcirc	Yes
	\bigcirc	No
47.		ase specify which initiatives you are undertaking for Scope 3 anced) emission calculation. *
48.	zero	you have a road map for decarbonization or for achieving net or greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions for your direct lending tfolio(s)? *
	\bigcirc	Yes, in-house
	\bigcirc	Exploring or sourcing guidance
	\bigcirc	Engaging with external consultants
	\bigcirc	No

49.	patl initi	you apply, or plan to apply, sector-specific decarbonization nways laid out by organizations such as Science Based Targets ative (SBTi), International Energy Agency (IEA), International ewable Energy Agency (IRENA), etc.? *
	\bigcirc	Yes, for the whole portfolio
	\bigcirc	Yes, for part of the portfolio
	\bigcirc	No
50.	dec	ase provide information on how you apply sector-specific arbonization pathways, and specify the pathway of which anisation you follow. *
51.		you have a plan for raising topics around climate risks with rowers?
	\bigcirc	Yes, through systematic engagement
	\bigcirc	Yes, through ad-hoc engagement
	\bigcirc	Yes, but only on selected topics
	\bigcirc	No, currently no discussions on climate risks

52.	forv	you use climate scenario analysis and/or any tools to measure vard-looking climate risk of companies/assets across your ct lending fund(s)? *
	\bigcirc	Yes
	\bigcirc	No
53.		v do you intend to equip yourself in assessing climate risks of r portfolio companies in the next 3 years?
	\bigcirc	Build internal proprietary frameworks
	\bigcirc	Capability enhancement by on-boarding climate risk professionals
	\bigcirc	Outsourcing to external consultants
	\bigcirc	Providing necessary training to investment teams
	\bigcirc	Other

Section E. GP - ESG-linked Pricing and Sustainability Linked Loans (SLLs)

		ESG interest-rate ratchets (including sustainability-linked is) part of your direct lending transactions?
		*
	\bigcirc	Yes, in sustainability funds
	\bigcirc	Yes, in mainstream funds
	\bigcirc	No, they are not
55.	If no	
	opti	ot, is it because of one of the reasons listed in the following ons? *
	opti	_
	opti	ons? *
	opti	ons? * Concerns about the SLL's credibility (e.g. greenwashing practices)

56.	. How do you ensure that the borrower's KPI(s) and targets are relevant and ambitious? *	
	\bigcirc	Rely on internal expertise
	\bigcirc	Request a validation from external parties (e.g. second party opinion, consultant)
	\bigcirc	Other
57.		at kind of margin mechanism adjustment do you observe or lement in ESG interest-rate ratchets or in SLLs? *
	\bigcirc	Mostly margin step-down (i.e. reward)
	\bigcirc	Mostly margin step-up (i.e. penalty)
	\bigcirc	Margin step-down and step-up
	\bigcirc	Other
58.		you include ESG-related covenants into the transaction uments? *
	\bigcirc	Yes, in all deals
	\bigcirc	Yes, on a case-by-case basis
	\bigcirc	No, currently we do not
	\bigcirc	No, but are considering adding in future

59.	Do 1	these covenants include ESG data reporting? *
	\bigcirc	Yes
	\bigcirc	No
60.		what stage do you define the KPIs and the sustainability formance targets (SPTs)? *
	\bigcirc	Pre-close of the investment
	\bigcirc	Post-close of the investment (e.g. rendez-vous clause in the credit agreement)
61.		h upward ratchets, how do you allocate the incremental rest rates? *
	\bigcirc	To investors
	\bigcirc	To non-governmental organizations or philanthropic activities
	\bigcirc	To portfolio companies in support of their ESG endeavors
	\bigcirc	Other

compliance with KPIs? *	
\bigcirc	ESG compliance certification is provided by statutory auditors or independent third parties
\bigcirc	ESG compliance is only validated by company's senior management
\bigcirc	No particular validation of the KPI compliance
\bigcirc	Other

62. What type of verification do you perform on the borrower's

63. In your opinion, which are the sectors best suited for SLLs? Select top <u>two</u> options. * Please select at most 2 options. **Communications Services** Consumer Discretionary Consumer Staples Energy Financials Healthcare Industrials ΙT Materials Real Estate Utilities

Other

Section F. GP - GP collaboration with peers and private equity sponsors

64.	Do you engage collaboratively with other private lenders of the same borrower on ESG topics? *
	Yes
	○ No
65.	If yes, please specify for which funds:
	For the definition of sustainability and mainstream funds, please refer to the introduction at the start of the survey. Your progress here will be saved.
	Only sustainability funds
	Only mainstream funds

66.	What is the purpose of the collaboration with <u>peers</u> on ESG topics? *		
	Please select at most 2 options.		
		To collect ESG data and ensure disclosure consistency	
		To set ESG targets	
		To incorporate ESG ratchets in deals	
		To save time for the portfolio companies	
		To save costs (e.g. to avoid appointing third-parties to collect the data)	
		Other	
67.		at is the purpose of engagement with <u>private equity</u> nsors on ESG topics? *	
	Pleas	e select at most 2 options.	
		To collect ESG data and ensure disclosure consistency	
		To set ESG targets	
		To incorporate ESG ratchets in deals	
		To save costs (e.g. to avoid appointing third-parties to collect the data)	
		Other	

	direct lending transaction do not share the ESG data of target companies, what might be the reasons? *
	Please select at most 2 options.
	Lack of incentives
	Lack of collaborative culture
	Lack of a common framework for collecting data
	Different relevance of ESG information in a direct lending deal vis-a-vis an equity deal
	Other
69.	If you have chosen 'Lack of incentives' above, could you suggest some incentives? (optional)
69.	
	some incentives? (optional)
	Do you think there should be an ESG section in standardised loan documentation to help facilitate ESG features such as ratchets

68. In cases where the private equity sponsors participating in a

Section A. LP - General questions

71.	AUM throughout the firm (incl. all the funds and asset strategies) (US\$ billion) *				
	n.b. Assets Under Management (AUM)				
72.	AUM in direct lending strategy (US\$ billion) *				
73.	Role in the organisation of the person filling the questionnaire (no individual names) *				

74.	74. Headquarters of the organisation *		
	\bigcirc	North America	
	\bigcirc	Latin America	
	\bigcirc	Europe	
	\bigcirc	Australia	
	\bigcirc	Asia-Pacific	
	\bigcirc	The Middle East, Africa	
	\bigcirc	Other	
75.		ch are the geographical regions where you have the largest ct lending exposure? *	
75.	dire	ch are the geographical regions where you have the largest ct lending exposure? * e select at most 3 options.	
75.	dire	ct lending exposure? *	
75.	dire	ct lending exposure? * e select at most 3 options.	
75.	dire	ct lending exposure? * e select at most 3 options. North America	
75.	dire	e select at most 3 options. North America Latin America	
75.	dire	e select at most 3 options. North America Latin America Europe	
75.	dire	e select at most 3 options. North America Latin America Europe Australia	

76.	76. Which type of funds do you invest in? *		
	\bigcirc	Only sustainability funds	
	\bigcirc	Only mainstream funds	
	\bigcirc	Both sustainability funds and mainstream funds	
77.	77. How often do you enquire about ESG-related disclosures at meetings with GPs? *		
	\bigcirc	Quarterly	
	\bigcirc	Semi-annually	
	\bigcirc	Annually	
	\bigcirc	Never	
	\bigcirc	Other	

Section B. LP - Engagement (with GPs)

78.	8. LPs need to understand how GPs engage with the portfolio companies. When GPs cannot do this successfully, what should LPs do? *		
	\bigcirc	Provide guidance and support to GPs	
	\bigcirc	Introduce external consultants to GPs	
	\bigcirc	Withdraw commitments	
	\bigcirc	Other	
79.	Wha	at do you value the most from GPs on ESG topics? *	
	Pleas	e select at most 2 options.	
		ESG data disclosure from portfolio companies	
		Identification and mitigation of ESG risks in direct lending to portfolio companies	
		Improvement of sustainability outcomes by setting ESG targets for portfolio companies	
		Information on the content and the frequency of engagement on ESG-related issues (e.g data collection, target setting etc.)	
		Other	

80. Do you require independent verification of ESG information disclosures made by GPs? *	
Yes	
○ No	
81. Please elaborate on the process and extent of the verification required. Please also highlight if there are any differences between mainstream and sustainability funds. *	

Section C. LP - ESG data collection

82.	What are the major challenges for achieving accuracy of ESG data that you need to assess the value of investment funds? Select top three options. *			
	Please select at most 3 options.			
		Borrower limited awareness of ESG relevant issues or data disclosure		
		Different regional perceptions of ESG issues		
		No standardization on disclosure		
		Other lenders with lower or no ESG disclosure thresholds		
		Varying regulatory requirements		
		Other		
83.		ich of the following options would you prioritize to make ESG a collection by GPs and presentation more efficient? *		
	\bigcirc	Increased collaboration among peer lenders		
	\bigcirc	Leveraging data from different participants in a direct lending deal lifecycle		
	\bigcirc	Standardization of frameworks for direct lending deals		
	\bigcirc	Specifications in legal document		
		Other		

84.	As an LP, what is your involvement in identifying risks at the ESG due diligence stage? *		
	Pleas	e select at most 2 options.	
		Assessment of risks on the issues highlighted in the due diligence reports	
		Responsible for the final decision	
		Through external consultants	
		Other	
85.	Do :	you collaborate with LP peers to seek and analyse ESG data? *	
	\bigcirc	Yes, for the majority of our commitments	
	\bigcirc	Yes, on a case-by-case basis	
	\bigcirc	No, we do not	
86.	•	es, please specify for which funds: *	
		he definition of sustainability and mainstream funds, please refer to the duction at the start of the survey. Your progress here will be saved.	
	\bigcirc	For sustainability funds	
	\bigcirc	For mainstream funds	
	\bigcirc	For both sustainability and mainstream funds	

87.	Please clarify how you collaborate with LP peers to seek and analyse ESG data (optional)				
88.	Do you have a methodology to identify the ESG performance of your GPs' fund(s)? *				
	Yes				
	O No				
89.	Please briefly elaborate on the methodology used. *				
90.	Do you encourage your GPs to interact on data collection and ESG integration practices? *				
	Yes				
	○ No				

91.	If yes, please specify for which funds: *
	For the definition of sustainability and mainstream funds, please refer to the introduction at the start of the survey. Your progress here will be saved.
	Only sustainability funds
	Only mainstream funds
	Both sustainability and mainstream funds

Section D. LP - Consideration of climate risk for investment decision making

92.	For risk	which funds do you ask GPs to measure and manage climate s? *
		he definition of sustainability and mainstream funds, please refer to the duction at the start of the survey. Your progress here will be saved.
	\bigcirc	For sustainability funds
	\bigcirc	For mainstream funds
	\bigcirc	For both sustainability and mainstream funds
	\bigcirc	Neither sustainability nor mainstream funds
93.	maı	ase elaborate on how you mandate GPs to measure and nage climate risks on mainstream funds, sustainability funds, ooth. *
94.	line	es your organisation publish climate risk-related disclosures in with Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosure FD) recommendations? *
	\bigcirc	Yes
		No

95.	At v	what stage of alignment is your organisation to the TCFD? *
	\bigcirc	Preparing qualitative disclosures aligned or aligning to TCFD
	\bigcirc	Preparing quantitative disclosures aligned or aligning to TCFD
	\bigcirc	Exploring/sourcing guidance on alignment to TCFD
	\bigcirc	Other
96.		es your <u>organization</u> have targets and metrics in place to reach zero emissions? *
	\bigcirc	Yes
	\bigcirc	Partially
	\bigcirc	No
97.	inte Elab	ase specify the year your organisation is targeting, including rim targets, if any. * orate if you have the same target for each direct lending fund you invest in use this box for any other relevant comment.

	you mandate, or plan to mandate, your GPs to incorporate nate targets and metrics for the funds they manage in order to ieve net-zero emissions by the year that you are targeting? *
\bigcirc	Yes, with action required immediately
\bigcirc	Yes, with a staged approach by investment type
\bigcirc	No, we do not
	w do you assess what GPs report to you on their climate risk essments? *
	an LP, of those listed below, can you rank your key priorities in proving climate risk assessment? *
	proving climate risk assessment? *
	Data coverage
	Data resources

vou have a system				
naging climate risk		ch to measu	ıring GP matuı	rity in
Yes				
No				
Planning				
		ify the timel	line to put the	
	No Planning es or planning to,	No Planning	No Planning es or planning to, please specify the timel	No Planning es or planning to, please specify the timeline to put the

104.	mea	ich metrics and/or methodologies are you considering to assure and disclose the exposure to climate risk of the funds invest in? *
		Weighted-Average Carbon Intensity (WACI)
		Financed Emissions
		IIGCC Net Zero Alignment
		Science Based Target initiative (SBTi) Alignment
		Other
105.	Do y	you mandate your GPs to gather and report on fund emission a? *
	\bigcirc	Yes
	\bigcirc	No
	\bigcirc	Planning
106.	_	es or planning to, please specify the timeline to put the roach in practice. *

differently by time horizon and sector? *
Yes, currently
No, but planning in the next year
No, but planning in the next 2-3 years
No, we do not and we are not planning to

107. Do you require GPs to disclose how they assess climate risk

Section E. LP - Sustainability Linked Loans ("SLL")

108.	Hav SLL	e you had discussions with GPs on allocating funds towards ? *
	\bigcirc	Yes
	\bigcirc	No
109.		at do you think of ESG interest-rate ratchets in direct lending sactions in mainstream funds? *
		he definition of sustainability and mainstream funds, please refer to the duction at the start of the survey. Your progress here will be saved.
	\bigcirc	They enhance the structure of the transaction
	\bigcirc	They do not enhance the structure of the transaction
	\bigcirc	I am not sure
110.	Do	you prefer ratchets with: *
	\bigcirc	Margin step-down (i.e. reward)
	\bigcirc	Margin step-up (i.e. penalty)
	\bigcirc	Margin step-down and up
	\bigcirc	No preference

111.	Do you have any framework/ policy to track and measure the outcomes generated by the SLLs? *
	Yes
	○ No
112.	Please can you elaborate on such framework or policy? *
113.	From your point of view, are SLLs a good way to achieve sustainability outcomes? *
	This means working to increase positive sustainability outcomes and/or decrease negative sustainability outcomes.
	Yes
	O No
114.	If yes, please elaborate on why. *

115.		As an LP, do you assess the KPI ambitions for the SLLs, and, if so, can you explain how? *		
		ording to you, what are the improvements necessary to ease investments in SLLs? *		
	\bigcirc	ESG compliance certification		
	\bigcirc	Effective tracking of objectives through certification		
	\bigcirc	Standardisation of regulatory requirements		
	\bigcirc	Knowledge to assess the ambition of KPIs		
	\bigcirc	Other		

Section F. LP - LP collaboration with peers

117.	Do you think there are ways that LPs can collaborate with each other with respect to ESG-related data and issues? *	
	Yes	
	○ No	
118.	Which of the following options are you in favour of? *	
	Work on developing/adopting industry standards for reporting	
	Work on developing/adopting industry standards for GP questionnaires	
	Other	
119.	Why do you think there are no ways for LPs to collaborate with each other with respect to ESG-related data and issues? *	
120.	Do you think there are ways credit GPs can collaborate when collecting ESG data or assessing ESG issues? *	
	Yes	
	○ No	

can	collaborate on ESG topics: *
\bigcirc	Create standard data reporting requests that are incorporated in credit documents (whether in a bilateral or a sponsor-backed deal)
\bigcirc	Create a joint program for engagement (when there is more than one credit investor in a deal)
\bigcirc	Other

121. If yes, please select one of the following options where credit GPs

Additional information

	Do you want to add any other relevant comments or suggest questions that we should investigate further in the
1	future? (Optional)

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Microsoft. The data you submit will be sent to the form owner.

