

PRI Reporting Framework

REAL ESTATE

Last revision: 10 May 2023





TABLE OF CONTENTS

POLICY	5	
Investment guidelines [RE 1]	5	
RE 1	5	
FUNDRAISING	7	
Commitments to investors [RE 2]	7	
RE 2	7	
PRE-INVESTMENT	9	
Materiality analysis [RE 3, RE 3.1]	9	
RE 3	9	
RE 3.1	11	
Due diligence [RE 4, RE 5]	13	
RE 4	13	
RE 5	15	
SELECTION, APPOINTMENT AND MONITORING OF THIRD-PART	Y PROPERTY MANAGERS	18
Selection process of third-party property managers [RE 6]	18	
RE 6	18	
Appointment process of third-party property managers [RE 7]	20	
RE 7	20	



Monitoring process of third-party property managers [RE 8]	23
RE 8	23
CONSTRUCTION AND DEVELOPMENT	26
Construction requirements [RE 9]	26
RE 9	26
Minimum building requirements [RE 10]	28
RE 10	28
POST-INVESTMENT	31
Monitoring [RE 11, RE 11.1, RE 12, RE 13, RE 13.1, RE 14, RE 15, RE 16, RE 17]	31
RE 11	31
RE 11.1	33
RE 12	35
RE 13	37
RE 13.1	40
RE 14	41
RE 15	43
RE 16	44
RE 17	45
Stakeholder engagement [RE 18, RE 19]	47
RE 18	47
RE 19	49
Exit [RE 20]	50



RE 20	50
Disclosure of ESG portfolio information [RE 21]	53
RE 21	53



POLICY

INVESTMENT GUIDELINES [RE 1]

Indicator ID	Dependent on:	OO 21, OO 24, OO 26	Sub-section	PRI Principle	Type of indicator			
RE 1	Gateway to:	N/A	Investment guidelines	1 to 6	CORE			
What real estate-specific ESG guidelines are currently covered in your organisation's responsible investment policy(ies)?								
☐ (A) Guidelines	on our ESG appro	each to real estate depending on	use (e.g. retail and education) and geography					
, ,		each to new construction						
\ ' '		each to major renovations						
\ ' '		each to standing real estate inve	stments					
, ,	on pre-investment		o or 100 day plans (or equivalent)					
\ '	• • •	o ESG integration into long-term	n or 100-day plans (or equivalent)					
` '	• • •	•	i value creation enorts					
` '	 □ (H) Guidelines on our approach to ESG reporting □ (I) Guidelines on our engagement approach related to third-party property managers 							
1 ''								
1 ' '		nt approach related to construct	ion contractors					
, ,		olicy(ies) does not cover real es						

Explanatory notes

Purpose of indicator

This indicator aims to capture whether a signatory's responsible investment policy includes environmental, social and governance guidelines for its real estate assets. Many investors will have an organisation-wide ESG or responsible investment policy that covers all asset classes. A policy covering all asset classes is likely to leave space for interpretation regarding its application to real estate. Adapting it into specific ESG guidelines for investments in real estate and including the full scope of an organisation's ESG approach for its real estate assets is considered good practice and will help align expectations and practices related to responsible investment in real estate.



	ESG guidelines specific to an organisation's real estate investments may appear in a standalone document or the overall policy document.					
Additional reporting guidance	In this indicator, 'tenant' refers to a person or group that occupies a house, office, industrial estate or similar.					
	A '100-day plan (or equivalent)' is a document that contains a list of activities the invest	tor needs to undertake within the first 100 days of investment.				
	For further guidance, see An introduction to responsible investment: real estate.					
Other resources	For guidance on how to write an RI policy, refer to An introduction to responsible investment: policy, structure and process.					
Logic						
Dependent on	[00 21], [00 24], [00 26]					
Gateway to	N/A					
Assessment						
	100 points for this indicator.					
Assessment criteria	100 points for 5 or more selections from A–H AND 1 or more selections from I–K. 75 points for 5 or more selections from A–H OR 1 or more selections from I–K. 50 points for 3–4 selections from A–H. 25 points for 1–2 selections from A–H. 0 points for L.	Further details: Selecting 'L' will result in 0/100 points for this indicator.				
Multiplier	High					



FUNDRAISING

COMMITMENTS TO INVESTORS [RE 2]

Indicator ID	Dependent on:	00 21	Sub-section	PRI Principle	Type of indicator
RE 2	Gateway to:	N/A	Commitments to investors	1, 4	CORE

For all of the funds that you closed during the reporting year, what type of formal responsible investment commitments did you make in Limited Partnership Agreements (LPAs), side letters, or other constitutive fund documents?

If you did not close any funds during this reporting year, refer to the last reporting year in which you closed funds, up to five years ago.

- O (A) We incorporated responsible investment commitments in LPAs (or equivalent) as a standard default procedure
- O (B) We added responsible investment commitments in LPAs (or equivalent) upon a client's request
- O (C) We added responsible investment commitments in side letters upon a client's request
- O (D) We did not make any formal responsible investment commitments for the relevant reporting year
- O (E) Not applicable; we have not raised funds in the last five years

Explanatory notes	Explanatory notes						
Purpose of indicator The purpose of this indicator is to understand whether and when a general partner (GP) incorporates ESG and responsible investment commitments in fund-level legal documentation. It is considered good practice to formally commit to responsible investment in LPAs, side letters or other constitutive fund documents.							
	This indicator only applies to GPs who invest from fund structures.						
Additional reporting guidance	Signatories should report any formal commitments related to responsible investment implementation and consideration of ESG issues that were either initiated by the GP or requested by LPs and included in LPAs, side letters and/or other constitutive fund documents.						
	If no funds were closed during the reporting year, the last reporting year in which funds were closed (up to five years ago) should be referenced.						
Other resources	Refer to Incorporating responsible investment requirements into private equity fund terms for further guidance.						

Logic							
Dependent on	[00 21]						
Gateway to	N/A						
Assessment	Assessment						
	100 points for this indicator.	Further details:					
Assessment criteria	100 points for A. 66 points for B. 33 points for C. 0 points for D.	Selecting 'D' will result in 0/100 points for this indicator. Selecting 'E' means the indicator is scored as N/A. Signatories will not be penalised for this indicator.					
Multiplier	Moderate						

PRE-INVESTMENT

MATERIALITY ANALYSIS [RE 3, RE 3.1]

Indicator ID	Dependent on: Gateway to:	OO 21 RE 3.1	-	Sub-section Materiality analysis	PRI Principle	Type of indicator
During the reporting year, how did you conduct ESG materiality analysis for your potential real estate investments? If signatories did not analyse any potential real estate investments in the reporting year, they should refer to the last reporting year in which they analysed potential real estate investments.						
O (A) We assesse	[Dropdown list]					
O (B) We performed a mix of property level and property type or category level ESG materiality analysis [As above]						
O (C) We assessed ESG materiality at the property type or category level only				[As above]		

Explanatory notes	
	This indicator aims to identify how a signatory conducts materiality analysis of ESG factors as a standard part of its due diligence process during the pre-investment phase by
Purpose of indicator	indicating the depth and quality of its materiality assessment. It is considered good practice to conduct property-specific materiality analysis, whether using internal or external



resources.

O (D) We did not conduct ESG materiality analysis for our potential real estate investments

Additional reporting guidance	Signatories should indicate the proportion of potential real estate investments their ESG materiality analysis considered.						
Other resources	For information on materiality analysis, see a PRI blog post on Using SASB to implement PRI monitoring and disclosure resources for private equity.						
Logic							
Dependent on	[00 21]	[00 21]					
Gateway to	[RE 3.1]						
Assessment							
	100 points for this indicator divided between lettered (lettered and coverage answer options.	50 points) and c	coverage (50 points) answer options. The final score w	vill be based on the highest-scoring combination of			
Assessment criteria		50 points) and o	50 points for the coverage: 50 points for all (1). 25 points for a majority (2). 12 points for a minority (3).	Further details: Selecting 'D' will result in 0/100 points for this indicator and the following indicator: RE 3.1			

Indicator ID	Dependent on:	RE 3	Sub-section	PRI Principle	Type of indicator			
RE 3.1	Gateway to:	N/A	Materiality analysis	1	CORE			
During the reporti	ng year, what too	ols, standards and data did yo	u use in your ESG materiality analysis of potentia	l real estate investme	ents?			
	If signatories did not analyse any potential real estate investments in the reporting year, they should refer to the last reporting year in which they analysed potential real estate investments.							
i i		form our real estate ESG materi inform our real estate ESG mate						
, ,			inform our real estate ESG materiality analysis					
, ,	mate disclosures,	• •	inform our real estate ESG materiality analysis ations or other climate risk and/or exposure analysis to	ools, to inform our real	estate ESG			
, ,	-	•	Rights (UNGPs) to inform our real estate ESG materi	ality analysis				
, ,	•		our real estate ESG materiality analysis ESG materiality analysis					
, ,								
☐ (J) Other	□ (J) Other							
Specify:	_ [Mandatory free	text: small]						

Explanatory notes	
Purpose of indicator	This indicator aims to capture the tools, standards and data an organisation uses to inform their materiality analysis of ESG factors as a standard part of its due diligence process, including as part of an internal checklist or ESG tool or scorecard, during the pre-investment phase. This analysis may be completed internally using in-house tools or methodologies or externally via a service provider. It is considered good practice to use a range of tools and resources to ensure that in-depth ESG materiality assessments are conducted. The specific tools and resources used may vary depending on the context of the potential investment, e.g. industry sector and geography.
Additional reporting guidance	Links to various standards listed: Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) Standards Value Reporting Foundation (Sustainability Accounting Standards Board - SASB standards) Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)



	 Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB) Materiality Assessment) UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs) UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) See GRESB building certification schemes for a list of design and/or construction green building certification schemes.					
Other resources	For information on materiality analysis, see the PRI blog post Using SASB to implement PRI monitoring and disclosure resources for private equity.					
Logic						
Dependent on	[RE 3]					
Gateway to	N/A					
Assessment						
Assessment criteria	100 points for this indicator. 100 points for 4 or more selections from A–I. 75 points for 3 selections from A–I. 50 points for 2 selections from A–I. 25 points for 1 selection from A–I. 0 points for J.					
'Other' scored as	Selecting Other (J) will not be counted by the scoring criteria, provided answer options have been identified as capturing good practice.					
Multiplier	Moderate					



DUE DILIGENCE [RE 4, RE 5]

Indicator ID	Dependent on:	00 21		Sub-section	PRI Principle	Type of indicator		
RE 4	Gateway to:	N/A		Due diligence	1	CORE		
During the rep	During the reporting year, how did material ESG factors influence your selection of real estate investments?							
If signatories of investments.	If signatories did not select any real estate investments in the reporting year, they should refer to the last reporting year in which they selected real estate investments.							
				[Dropdown list]				
□ (A) Materia	l ESG factors were us	sed to identify risks		(1) for all of our potential real estate investments(2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments(3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments				
☐ (B) Materia		scussed by the investment comm	mittee (or	[As above]				
\ '	I ESG factors were us or equivalent)	sed to identify remedial actions for	or our 100-	[As above]				
☐ (D) Materia	I ESG factors were u	sed to identify opportunities for v	alue	[As above]				
☐ (E) Material ESG factors informed our decision to abandon potential investments in the due diligence phase in cases where ESG risks were considered too high to mitigate				[As above]				
☐ (F) Materia	•	ed investments in terms of the pri	ice offered	[As above]				



O (G) Material ESG factors did not influence the selection of our real estate investments

Explanatory notes								
Purpose of indicator	This indicator aims to understand whether an organisation includes ESG-related information in the investment selection process and ascertain the depth of the analysis performed. It is considered good practice for material ESG factors to have played an integral part in decision-making for all real estate investment selections during the reporting year.							
Additional reporting guidance	By real estate investment selection, we mean new real estate investments that were made during the reporting year. A '100-day plan (or equivalent)' is a document that contains a list of activities the investor needs to undertake within the first 100 days of investment. By 'our decision to abandon potential investments in the due diligence phase', we mean any decision that would allow for the abandonment of potential investments following issues that come to light during the due diligence phase. It would be completed after any screening of potential investments, with an exclusion list.							
Other resources	For further guidance, see An introduction to responsible	investment: r	real estate.					
Logic								
Dependent on	[00 21]							
Gateway to	N/A							
Assessment								
	100 points for this indicator divided between lettered (50 lettered and coverage answer options.	0 points) and	coverage (50 points) answer options. The final score wi	III be based on the highest-scoring combination of				
Assessment criteria	50 points for the lettered answer options: 50 points for 5 or more selections from A–F; MUST include F. 33 points for 4 or more selections from A–F. 16 points for 2–3 selections from A–F. 0 points for 1 selection from A–F OR G. 50 points for the coverage: Per answer selection A to F, each option will be worth the following proportion: 50/5 points for the coverage: Further details: Selecting 'G' will result in 0/100 points for this indicator.							
Multiplier	High							



Indicator ID	Dependent on:	00 21		Sub-section		Type of indicator	
RE 5	Gateway to:	Gateway to: N/A		Due diligence	1	CORE	
Once material E investments?	SG factors have	been identified, wh	at processes do you use	to conduct due diligence on these fac	ctors for potential rea	al estate	
For investors with minority stakes in real estate investments, answer options should be selected based on how they use their influence with the assets/properties, majority shareholder(s), and/or lead investors they engage with in co-investment situations to ensure that material ESG factors are fully identified and assessed to the extent possible.							
[Dropdown list] (A) We conduct a high-level or desktop review against an ESG checklist for initial red flags (1) for all of our potential real estate investments (2) for a majority of our potential real estate investments (3) for a minority of our potential real estate investments							
☐ (B) We send detailed ESG questionnaires to target properties				[As above]			
☐ (C) We hire third-party consultants to do technical due diligence on specific material ESG factors			ue diligence on specific	[As above]			
□ (D) We conduct site visits			[As above]				
☐ (E) We conduct in-depth interviews with management and/or personnel				[As above]			
☐ (F) We condu	uct detailed extern	al stakeholder analys	sis and/or engagement	[As above]			



	(G) We incorporate ESG due diligence findings in all of our relevant investment process documentation in the same manner as for other key due diligence, e.g. commercial, accounting and legal	[As above]				
	(H) Our investment committee (or an equivalent decision-making body) is ultimately responsible for ensuring all ESG due diligence is completed in the same manner as for other key due diligence, e.g. commercial, accounting and legal	[As above]				
	(I) Other Specify: [Mandatory free text: small]	[As above]				
0	O (J) We do not conduct due diligence on material ESG factors for potential real estate investments					

Explanatory notes	
Purpose of indicator	This indicator aims to capture the processes an organisation uses to conduct due diligence on material ESG-related risks at a pre-investment stage. It also assesses what internal or external resources are allocated to such processes and how material ESG factors are used to identify opportunities to create value. It is considered good practice to carry out due diligence to a sufficient depth, such that material ESG-related risks can be identified and assessed, and ESG value creation opportunities can also be identified for action during the post-investment period. A minimum standard of ESG due diligence could be a combination of desk-based research on publicly available information and working knowledge of ESG impacts on the target industry and sector.
Additional reporting guidance	'We conduct site visits' refers to physical visits made to operational sites of prospective assets or portfolio companies. 'Third-party consultants' refers to organisations or persons to which participants outsource some or all of their ESG strategy formulation and/or implementation.
Logic	
Dependent on	[00 21]
Gateway to	N/A
Assessment	



Assessment criteria	100 points for this indicator divided between lettered (50 points) and coverage (50 points) answer options. The final score will be based on the highest-scoring combination of lettered and coverage answer options.							
	50 points for the lettered answer options: 50 points for 4 or more selections from A–F AND both G, H. 33 points for 3 selections from A–F AND both G and H. 16 points for 2 or more selections from A–H. 0 points for 1 selection from A–I OR J.	AND	50 points for the coverage: Per answer selection A to H, each option will be worth the following proportion: 50/6 points for all (1). 25/6 points for a majority (2). 12/6 points for a minority (3).	Further details: Selecting 'J' will result in 0/100 points for this indicator. The assessment will be based on the highest-scoring combination of answer options.				
'Other' scored as	Selecting Other (I) will not be counted by the scoring criteria, provided answer options have been identified as capturing good practice.							
Multiplier	High							

SELECTION, APPOINTMENT AND MONITORING OF THIRD-PARTY PROPERTY MANAGERS

SELECTION PROCESS OF THIRD-PARTY PROPERTY MANAGERS [RE 6]

Indicator ID	Dependent on: Gateway to:	OO 21, OO 26 N/A	Sub-section Selection process of third-party property managers	PRI Principle	Type of indicator				
During the reporti	ing year, how did	you include material ESG fac	tors in all of your selections of third-party propert	y managers?					
If you did not select to five years ago.	If you did not select third-party property managers during the reporting year, report on the most recent year in which you selected a third-party property manager, up to five years ago.								
, , ,			managers on their overall approach to material ESG fa						
, , ,									
	□ (D) We requested documentation from potential third-party property managers on their responsible procurement practices, including responsibilities, approach								
. , ,	□ (E) We requested the assessment of current and planned availability and aggregation of metering data from potential third-party property managers								
` '	FB.4								
	- ,	•	aird north proporty managers						
to five years ago. (A) We request (B) We request (C) We request (D) We request and incentives (E) We request (F) Other Specify:[I	sted information fro sted track records a sted information fro sted documentation sted the assessmen	om potential third-party property and examples from potential third-party property on from potential third-party property of from potential third-party property of current and planned available	managers on their overall approach to material ESG fad-party property managers on their management of m managers on their engagement process(es) with stake erty managers on their responsible procurement practional political and aggregation of metering data from potential to	actors aterial ESG factors eholders ces, including respons	ibilities, approa				

Explanatory notes

Purpose of indicator

This indicator aims to understand how signatories assess a third-party property manager's track record and expertise during the selection process to ensure alignment with their responsible investment practices. It is considered good practice for real estate investors with third-party property managers to consider material ESG factors in their selection approach.



	As day-to-day property management is often outsourced to a third-party property manager, the successful management of material ESG factors will rest on selecting good third-party property managers and understanding how to work with them effectively. Good practice in property manager selection involves understanding not only high-level ESG policies that property managers have in place but also the depth and breadth to which those policies are implemented by the managers.						
	This section is only applicable if the signatory outsources property management to third-party property managers, as reported in the Organisational Overview module.						
Additional reporting guidance	If signatories manage all properties in-house, this indicator will not be applicable. If signatories manage some properties in-house and outsource others, they should consider only those assets for which the management is outsourced.						
	For further guidance, see An introduction to responsible investment: real estate						
Other resources	For guidance on questions to ask third-party property managers, see the Resp	onsible investment DDQ for real estate investors.					
	See also European Association for Investors in Non-Listed Real Estate Vehicle	s (INREV) DDQ.					
Reference to other standards	GRESB 2022 Real Estate Assessment: SE6 (Stakeholder Engagement section)					
Logic							
Dependent on	[OO 21], [OO 26]						
Gateway to	N/A						
Assessment							
	100 points for this indicator.						
Assessment criteria	100 points for 4 or more selections from A–E. 75 points for 3 selections from A–E. Further details:						
50 points for 2 selections from A–E. Selecting 'G' will result in 0/100 points for this indicator.							
	25 points for 1 selection from A–E. 0 points for F, G.						
'Other' scored as	Selecting Other (F) will not be counted by the scoring criteria, provided answer options have been identified as capturing good practice.						
Multiplier	High						



APPOINTMENT PROCESS OF THIRD-PARTY PROPERTY MANAGERS [RE 7]

Indicator ID	Dependent on:	00 21, 00 26	Appoi	Sub-section ntment process of third-party	PRI Principle	Type of indicator		
RE 7	Gateway to:	N/A	7.44	property managers	1, 4	CORE		
How did you inclu	de material ESG	factors when appointing your	r current third	I-party property managers?				
_	If signatories did not select third-party property managers during the reporting year, they should report the most recent year in which they selected third-party property managers, up to five years ago.							
				[Dropdown list]				
☐ (A) We set ded phases	icated ESG proce	dures in all relevant property ma	anagement	(1) for all of our third-party property managers(2) for a majority of our third-party property managers(3) for a minority of our third-party property managers				
☐ (B) We set clea	ar ESG reporting re	equirements		[As above]				
☐ (C) We set clea	ar targets on mate	rial ESG factors		[As above]				
□ (D) We set ince	entives related to t	argets on material ESG factors		[As above]				
□ (E) We include contracts	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			[As above]				
□ (F) Other Specify:	_ [Mandatory free	text: small]		[As above]				
O (G) We did not	include material E	ESG factors in the appointment c	of third-party p	roperty managers				



Explanatory notes									
Purpose of indicator	This indicator aims to understand how the signatory includes material ESG factors in the legal agreement with a third-party property manager in alignment with its internal responsible investment practices. It is considered good practice for real estate investors who outsource property management to third-party property managers to consider material ESG factors in their appointment approach.								
	As day-to-day property management is often outsourced to a third-party property manager, the inclusion of material ESG factors in legal agreements between the investor and third-party property managers will help define the extent to which these factors are actively managed by the latter and assessed by the former.								
	This section is applicable only if the signatory outsource	es property mai	nagement to third-party property managers, as reported	in the Organisational Overview module.					
Additional reporting guidance	If signatories manage some properties in-house and outsource some, they should consider only those assets for which management is outsourced.								
3	A majority refers to ≥50%, and a minority refers to <50% of third-party property managers. The coverage for each option should be based on the number of third-party property managers.								
	For further guidance, see An introduction to responsible	e investment: re	eal estate.						
Other resources	For guidance on questions to ask third-party property r	nanagers, see t	he Responsible investment DDQ for real estate investo	rs.					
	See also European Association for Investors in Non-Listed Real Estate Vehicles (INREV) DDQ.								
Reference to other standards	GRESB 2022 Real Estate Assessment: SE6 (Stakehol	der Engagemer	nt section)						
Logic									
Dependent on	[00 21], [00 26]								
Gateway to	N/A								
Assessment									
	100 points for this indicator divided between lettered (5 lettered and coverage answer options.	60 points) and co	overage (50 points) answer options. The final score will	be based on the highest-scoring combination of					
Assessment criteria	50 points for the lettered answer options:		50 points for the coverage:	Further details:					
	50 points for 3 or more selections from A–E; MUST include 2 or more selections from A–C.	AND	Per answer selection A to E, each option will be worth the following proportion:	Selecting 'G' will result in 0/100 points for this indicator.					



	33 points for 2-3 selections from A–E; MUST include 1 or more selections from A–C. 16 points for 1–2 selection from A–E. 0 points for F OR G.	50/3 points for all (1). 25/3 points for a majority (2). 12/3 points for a minority (3).				
'Other' scored as	Selecting Other (F) will not be counted by the scoring criteria, provided answer options have been identified as capturing good practice.					
Multiplier	Moderate					

MONITORING PROCESS OF THIRD-PARTY PROPERTY MANAGERS [RE 8]

Indicato		Dependent on:	OO 21, OO 26	Monitorine	Sub-section g process of third-party property	PRI Principle	Type of indicator
RE	8	Gateway to:	N/A		managers	1, 4	CORE
How do yo	ou includ	e material ESG	factors when monitoring curre	ent third-part	y property managers?		
· ,	[Dropdown list] (A) We monitor the performance of quantitative and/or qualitative targets on material environmental factors (1) for all of our third-party property managers (2) for a majority of our third-party property managers (3) for a minority of our third-party property managers						
, ,	e monitor ial social f	•	of quantitative and/or qualitative	targets on	[As above]		
, , ,	☐ (C) We monitor the performance of quantitative and/or qualitative targets on material governance factors			e targets on	[As above]		
□ (D) W€	☐ (D) We monitor progress reports on engagement with tenants				[As above]		
□ (E) W€	☐ (E) We require formal reporting at least yearly				[As above]		
	☐ (F) We have discussions about material ESG factors with all relevant stakeholders at least yearly			vant	[As above]		
agains	☐ (G) We conduct a performance review of third-party property managers against targets on material ESG factors and/or a financial incentive structure linked to material ESG factors			[As above]			



	(H) We have internal or external parties conduct site visits at least yearly	[As above]
	(I) Other Specify: [Mandatory free text: small]	[As above]
0	(J) We do not include material ESG factors in the monitoring of third-party prop	erty managers

Explanatory notes		
Purpose of indicator	This indicator aims to understand the methods used by the signatory to examine third-party property managers' performance and how to work with them to improve performance in alignment with its internal responsible investment practices. It is considered good practice for property investors who outsource property management to third-party property managers to consider material ESG factors in their monitoring approach.	
	As day-to-day property management is often outsourced to a third-party property manager, the successful management of material ESG factors includes having clear processes in place to determine how the managers' and assets' ESG performance will be assessed and monitored by the investor.	
	This section is applicable only if the signatory outsources property management to third-party property managers, as reported in the Organisational Overview module.	
	If signatories manage all properties in-house, this indicator will not be applicable. If signatories manage some properties in-house and outsource some, they should consider only those assets for which management is outsourced.	
Additional reporting guidance	A majority refers to ≥50%, and a minority refers to <50% of third-party property managers. The coverage for each answer option should be based on the number of third-party property managers.	
	'We conduct site visits' refers to physical visits made to the operational sites of prospective assets or portfolio companies.	
	A 'tenant' is a person or group that occupies a house, office, industrial estate or similar.	
Other resources	For further guidance, see An introduction to responsible investment: real estate.	
Reference to other standards	GRESB 2022 Real Estate Assessment: SE7.1 (Stakeholder Engagement section)	
Logic		



Dependent on	[OO 14], [OO 26]								
Gateway to	N/A								
Assessment	ssment								
	100 points for this indicator divided between lettered (50 points) and coverage (50 points) answer options. The final score will be based on the highest-scoring combination of lettered and coverage answer options.								
Assessment criteria	50 points for the lettered answer options: 50 points for 5 or more selections from A–H; MUST include all 3 selections from A–C. 33 points for 4 selections from A–H; MUST include 2 or more selections from A–C. 16 points for 2–6 selections from A–H; MUST include 1 or more selections from A–C (OR 2–5 selections from D–H). 0 points for 1 selection from A–I OR J.	AND	50 points for the coverage: Per answer selection A to H, each option will be worth the following proportion: 50/5 points for all (1). 25/5 points for a majority (2). 12/5 points for a minority (3).	Further details: Selecting 'J' will result in 0/100 points for this indicator.					
'Other' scored as	Selecting Other (I) will not be counted by the scoring criteria, provided answer options have been identified as capturing good practice.								
Multiplier	High								



CONSTRUCTION AND DEVELOPMENT

CONSTRUCTION REQUIREMENTS [RE 9]

Indicator ID	Dependent on:	00 21, 00 24	Sub-section	PRI Principle	Type of indicator				
RE 9	Gateway to:	N/A	Construction requirements	1	CORE				
What ESG requirements do you currently have in place for all development projects and major renovations?									
☐ (A) We require	☐ (A) We require the management of waste by diverting materials (e.g. from construction and demolition, reusable vegetation, rocks and soil) from disposal								
· · ·		•	rould affect the surrounding community						
	 (C) We require the performance of an environmental and social site impact assessment (D) We require the protection of the air quality during construction 								
	(G) We require constant monitoring of health and safety at the construction site								
☐ (I) Other	☐ (H) We require engagement with local communities and other stakeholders during the design and/or planning process ☐ (I) Other								
\ '	Specify: [Mandatory free text: small]								
O (J) We do not h	ave ESG requirer	nents in place for development p	projects and major renovations						

Explanatory notes	
Purpose of indicator	This indicator aims to assess how an organisation approaches the incorporation of environmental and social factors into the construction of new buildings and/or major renovations. Having sustainability requirements in place for development projects and major renovations shows the level of responsibility that an organisation takes in making properties more sustainable. It is considered good practice for ESG issues to be considered in active property developments and major renovations to help reduce the negative effects of construction sites.
Additional reporting guidance	An answer option should only be selected if it applies to ALL active property development projects and major renovations.



Other resources	See Sustainable Real Estate Investment: Implementing the Paris Climate Agreement - An Action Framework for more information on sustainability in real estate investment.						
Reference to other standards	GRESB 2022 Real Estate Assessment: DRE3 (Sustainable site design/development requirements), DSE2.1 (Development: Stakeholder Engagement)						
Logic							
Dependent on	[00 21], [00 24]						
Gateway to	N/A						
Assessment							
Assessment criteria	100 points for this indicator. 100 points for 6 or more selections from A–H. 66 points for 4–5 selections from A–H. 33 points for 2–3 selections from A–H. 0 points for 1 selection from A–H. 0 points for I, J. Further details: Selecting 'J' will result in 0/100 points for this indicator.						
'Other' scored as	Selecting Other (I) will not be counted by the scoring criteria, provided answer options have been identified as capturing good practice.						
Multiplier	Moderate						



MINIMUM BUILDING REQUIREMENTS [RE 10]

Indicator ID	Dependent on:	OO 21, OO 24		Sub-section	PRI Principle	Type of indicator
RE 10	Gateway to:	N/A	Minir	num building requirements	1	CORE
What minimum bu	ilding requireme	ents do you have in place for d	levelopment	projects and major renovations?		
				[Dropdown list]		
1 ' '	the implementations (IoT) technolog	on of the latest available metering	g and	(1) for all development projects and m (2) for a majority of our development p (3) for a minority of our development p	projects and major rend	
	☐ (B) We require the building to be able to obtain a recognised green and/or healthy building certification for new buildings			[As above]		
□ (C) We require	the use of certifie	d (or labelled) sustainable buildi	ng materials	[As above]		
☐ (D) We require feasible	() 1			[As above]		
	☐ (E) We require that development projects and major renovations become net- zero carbon emitters within five years of completion of the construction			[As above]		
☐ (F) We require	☐ (F) We require water conservation measures			[As above]		
☐ (G) We require	common health a	and well-being measures for occu	upants	[As above]		



☐ (H) Other Specify: [Mandatory free text: small]	[As above]
O (I) We do not have minimum building requirements in place for development	projects and major renovations

Explanatory notes			
Purpose of indicator	This indicator aims to assess whether an organisation incorporates environmental and social factors into development projects and major renovations. Having minimum building requirements in place shows the level of responsibility accepted by the signatory to make properties more sustainable and should also bring potential cost savings to light. It is considered good practice to include environmental and health requirements in development projects and in the selection of materials to conserve resources, reduce waste, limit the impact of new buildings and mitigate the health risks associated with the use of harmful materials.		
Additional reporting guidance	The coverage for each answer option should be based on the number of active property development projects and major renovations. Active property developments or major renovations refer to those taking place during the reporting year. See GRESB building certification schemes for a list of green building certification schemes concerning design and/or construction.		
Other resources	See Well and Fitwel for examples of healthy building certifications. See Sustainable Real Estate Investment: Implementing the Paris Climate Agreement - An Action Framework for more information on sustainability in real estate investment.		
Reference to other standards GRESB 2022 Real Estate Assessment: DMA 1 – Development: Materials (Materials selection requirements) DMA 2.1 – Development: Materials (Life cycle assessments) DEN 2.1 – Development: Energy (On-site renewable energy) DEN 2.2 – Development: Energy (Net-zero carbon design and standards) DWT 1 – Development: Water (Water conservation strategy) DSE 1 – Development: Stakeholder Engagement (Health and well-being)			
Logic			
Dependent on	[OO 21], [OO 24]		
Gateway to	N/A		



Assessment								
	100 points for this indicator divided between lettered (50 points) and coverage (50 points) answer options. The final score will be based on the highest-scoring combination of lettered and coverage answer options.							
	50 points for the lettered answer options:		50 points for the coverage:					
Assessment criteria	50 points for 4 or more selections from A–G. 33 points for 2–3 selections from A–G. 16 points for 1 selection from F, G. 0 points for 1 selection from A–E OR 1 selection from H, I.	AND	Per answer selection A to G, each option will be worth the following proportion: 50/4 points for all (1). 25/4 points for a majority (2). 12/4 points for a minority (3).	Further details: Selecting 'I' will result in 0/100 points for this indicator.				
'Other' scored as	Selecting Other (H) will not be counted by the scoring criteria, provided answer options have been identified as capturing good practice.							
Multiplier	High							

POST-INVESTMENT

MONITORING [RE 11, RE 11.1, RE 12, RE 13, RE 13.1, RE 14, RE 15, RE 16, RE 17]

Indicator ID	Dependent on:	OO 21 RE 11.1	Sub-section Monitoring	PRI Principle	Type of indicator			
During the report	During the reporting year, did you track one or more KPIs on material ESG factors across your real estate investments?							
	Refer to the number of real estate assets, not the percentage of assets under management. We recognise that there is a period after the acquisition of an asset when ESG data may not be available. Where the intention is to introduce and track KPIs on material ESG factors, please select yes.							
			Percentage of real estate assets this applies to					
☐ (A) Yes, we tracked KPIs on environmental factors			[Dropdown list] (1) >0 to 10% (2) >10 to 50% (3) >50 to 75% (4) >75 to 95% (5) >95%					
☐ (B) Yes, we tracked KPIs on social factors			[As above]					
☐ (C) Yes, we tra	acked KPIs on gov	rernance factors	[As above]					
O (D) We did not track KPIs on material ESG factors across our real estate investments								



Explanatory notes						
Purpose of indicator	This indicator aims to capture an organisation's approach to ESG performance in the active monitoring of KPIs on material ESG factors for their real estate assets based on the material risks and opportunities identified in due diligence. It is considered good practice for signatories to include relevant factors from across the ESG spectrum in their performance monitoring. Collecting the performance of ESG metrics on an ongoing basis helps signatories better understand their assets' ESG performance, manage risks and report to clients.					
Other resources	For further guidance, see An introduction to responsible investment: real estate. For more information on ESG monitoring, see Sustainable real estate investment. Implementing the Paris Climate Agreement: An action framework.					
Logic						
Dependent on	[00 21]					
Gateway to	[RE 11.1]					
Assessment						
	100 points for this indicator divided between lettered (50 points) and coverage (50 points) answer options. The final score will be based on the highest-scoring combination of lettered and coverage answer options.					
Assessment criteria	50 points for the lettered answer options: 50 points for all 3 selections from A–C. 33 points for 2 selections from A–C. 16 points for 1 selection from A–C. 0 points for D.	AND	50 points for the coverage: Per answer selection A to C, each option will be worth the following proportion: 50/3 points for (5) >95% 40/3 points for (4) >75 to 95% 30/3 points for (3) >50 to 75% 20/3 points for (2) >10 to 50% 10/3 points for (1) >0 to 10%	Further details: Selecting 'D' will result in 0/100 points for this indicator.		
Multiplier	Moderate		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			



Indicator ID	Dependent on:	RE 11	Sub-section	PRI Principle	Type of indicator	
RE 11.1	Gateway to:	N/A	Monitoring	1	PLUS VOLUNTARY TO DISCLOSE	
Provide examples	of KPIs on mate	erial ESG factors you tracked a	across your real estate investments during the rep	orting year.		
(A) ESG KPI #1	[Voluntary f	ree text: small]				
(B) ESG KPI #2	[Voluntary f	ree text: small]				
(C) ESG KPI #3 [Voluntary free text: small]						
(D) ESG KPI #4 [Voluntary free text: small]						
(E) ESG KPI #5	[Voluntary f	ree text: small]				
(F) ESG KPI #6	[Voluntary f	ree text: small]				
(G) ESG KPI #7 [Voluntary free text: small]						
(H) ESG KPI #8 [Voluntary free text: small]						
(I) ESG KPI #9 [Voluntary free text: small]						
(J) ESG KPI #10	[Voluntary	free text: small]				

Explanatory notes					
Purpose of indicator	of indicator It is considered good practice for signatories to include relevant factors from across the ESG spectrum in their performance monitoring. Collecting the performance of ESG metrics on an ongoing basis helps signatories better understand their assets' ESG performance, manage risks and report to clients.				
04	For further guidance, see An introduction to responsible investment: real estate.				
Other resources	For more information on ESG monitoring, see Sustainable real estate investment. Implementing the Paris Climate Agreement: An action framework.				
Logic					
Dependent on	[RE 11]				



Gateway to	N/A
Assessment	
Not assessed	



Indicator ID	Dependent on: Gateway to:	OO 21	1 Ki i incipie		Type of indicator	
During the reporting year, what ESG building performance data did you collect for your real estate assets?						
□ (A) Energy con	[Dropdown list] (A) Energy consumption (1) for all of our real estate assets (2) for a majority of our real estate assets (3) for a minority of our real estate assets					
☐ (B) Water consumption			[As above]			
☐ (C) Waste production				[As above]		
☐ (D) Other Specify: [Mandatory free text: small]			[As above]			
O (E) We did not collect ESG building performance data for our real estate assets						

Explanatory notes	Explanatory notes					
Purpose of indicator	This indicator aims to examine the measures taken by the signatory to improve ESG performance data related to its assets. It is considered good practice to collect the performance of ESG metrics on an ongoing basis to help real estate managers and investors better monitor their building performance, manage risks and present clients with more accurate reports.					
Additional guidance	According to the 2022 GRESB Real Estate Assessment: Data measurement and the consistent reporting of energy consumption help entities conceptualise overall energy consumption, increase the energy efficiency of their portfolio and reduce the economic and environmental impacts associated with fossil fuel energy use.					



	By 'energy consumption', we mean electricity, fuels and district heating and cooling. Consistent collection of water consumption data provides property companies and fund managers with the information needed to monitor their environmental impact, reduce the burden on potable water consumption and wastewater systems, assess their exposure to risks of disruptions in water supplies and reduce water expenditures.					
Other resources	See Sustainable real estate investment: Implementing t	he Paris Clim	ate agreement - An action framework for more informati	ion on sustainability in real estate investment.		
Reference to other standards	GRESB 2022 Real Estate Assessment: EN1 – Performance: Energy (Energy consumption) WT1 – Performance: Water (Water use) WS1 – Performance: Waste (Waste management)					
Logic						
Dependent on	[00 21]					
Gateway to	N/A					
Assessment						
	100 points for this indicator divided between lettered (50 lettered and coverage answer options.	points) and	coverage (50 points) answer options. The final score wi	Il be based on the highest-scoring combination of		
Assessment criteria	50 points for the lettered answer options: 50 points for 3 or more selections from A–D; MUST include all A–C. 33 points for 2–3 selections from A–D; MUST include 2 selections from A–C. 16 points for 1–2 selections from A–D; MUST include 1 selection from A–C. 0 points for D, E.	AND	50 points for the coverage: Per answer selection A to C, each option will be worth the following proportion: 50/3 points for all (1). 25/3 points for a majority (2). 12/3 points for a minority (3).	Further details: Selecting 'E' will result in 0/100 points for this indicator.		
Multiplier	Moderate					

Indicator ID	Dependent on:	OO 21, OO 26		Sub-section	PRI Principle	Type of indicator	
RE 13	Gateway to:	RE 13.1		Monitoring	1, 2	CORE	
What processes of	lo you have in pla	ace to support meeting your ta	argets on ma	terial ESG factors for your real estate	investments?		
For investors with minority stakes in real estate investments, answer options should be selected based on how they use their influence with the assets or properties, majority shareholder(s), and/or lead investors they engage with in co-investment situations to ensure that ESG factors are actively managed to the extent possible.							
				[Dropdown list]			
. , ,	☐ (A) We use operational-level benchmarks to assess and analyse the performance of assets against sector performance			(1) for all of our real estate investments(2) for a majority of our real estate investments(3) for a minority of our real estate investments			
	☐ (B) We implement certified environmental and social management systems across our portfolio			[As above]			
, ,	☐ (C) We make sufficient budget available to ensure that the systems and procedures needed are established			[As above]			
□ (D) We hire exprocedures	()			[As above]			
☐ (E) We collaborate and engage with our third-party property managers and/or tenants to develop action plans		agers and/or	or [As above]				
☐ (F) We develop minimum health and safety standards				[As above]			
` '	et ongoing engage NGOs, governmen	ment with all key stakeholders, ets, and end-users	e.g. local	[As above]			



☐ (H) Other Specify: [Mandatory free text: small]	[As above]
O (I) We do not have processes in place to help meet our targets on material ESG	Gractors for our real estate investments

Explanatory notes									
Purpose of indicator	This indicator aims to capture the depth of processes and resources an organisation has implemented to help meet their targets on material ESG factors. Actively managing ESG performance requires organisations to adopt a range of potential processes and ensure adequate resources are provided. The specific processes and level of resources will depend on the context of the asset. In all cases, however, these processes and resources should be regularly assessed and adjusted according to their effectiveness in meeting material ESG targets for all real estate assets.								
Other resources	For further guidance, see An introduction to responsible investment: real estate, and Sustainable real estate investment: Implementing the Paris Climate agreement - an action framework. For further guidance, see the BII (formerly CDC Group) ESG toolkit.								
Logic									
Dependent on	[OO 21], [OO 26]								
Gateway to	[RE 13.1]								
Assessment									
	100 points for this indicator divided between lettered (5 lettered and coverage answer options.	50 points) and co	overage (50 points) answer options. The final score will	be based on the highest-scoring combination of					
Assessment criteria	50 points for the lettered answer options: 50 points for 5 or more selections from A–G. 33 points for 4 selections from A–G. 16 points for 2–3 selections from A–G. 0 points for 1 selection from A–H OR I.	AND	50 points for the coverage: Per answer selection A to G, each option will be worth the following proportion: 50/5 points for all (1). 25/5 points for a majority (2). 12/5 points for a minority (3).	Further details: Selecting 'I' will result in 0/100 points for this indicator.					



'Other' scored as		Selecting Other (H) will not be counted by the scoring criteria, provided answer options have been identified as capturing good practice.
Mu	ultiplier	Moderate



Indicator ID RE 13.1	Dependent on:	RE 13	Sub-section Monitoring	PRI Principle 1, 2	Type of indicator		
	Gateway to:	N/A			PLUS VOLUNTARY TO DISCLOSE		
Describe up to two processes you put in place during the reporting year to support meeting your targets on material ESG factors.							
	For investors with minority stakes in real estate investments, responses should be based on how they use their influence with the assets or properties, majority shareholder(s), and/or lead investors they engage with in co-investment situations to ensure that material ESG factors are actively managed to the extent possible.						
(A) Process one [Voluntary free text: large]							
(B) Process two [Voluntary free text: large]							

Explanatory notes	xplanatory notes				
Purpose of indicator	This indicator provides the signatory with the opportunity to expand upon interesting, innovative or leading examples of processes it has used to help meet its targets on material ESG factors, allowing signatories to share different practices and experiences in managing material ESG risks and opportunities.				
Additional reporting guidance					
Other resources	For further guidance, see An introduction to responsible investment: real estate.				
Logic					
Dependent on	[RE 13]				
Gateway to	Gateway to N/A				
Assessment					
Not assessed					



Indicator ID	Dependent on:	00 21	_	Sub-section Monitoring	PRI Principle	Type of indicator	
	Gateway to:	N/A			ŕ		
Post-investment,	Post-investment, how do you manage material ESG risks and ESG opportunities to create value during the holding period?						
For investors with minority stakes in real estate investments, answer options should be selected based on how they use their influence with the assets or properties, majority shareholder(s), and/or lead investors they engage with in co-investment situations to ensure that material ESG factors are actively managed to the extent possible.							
				[Dropdown list]			
, , ,	☐ (A) We develop property-specific ESG action plans based on pre-investment research, due diligence and materiality findings			(1) for all of our real estate investments(2) for a majority of our real estate investments(3) for a minority of our real estate investments			
☐ (B) We adjust our ESG action plans based on performance monitoring findings at least yearly			toring	[As above]			
☐ (C) We, or the external advisors that we hire, support our real estate investments with specific ESG value-creation opportunities			tate	[As above]			
☐ (D) Other Specify: [Mandatory free text: small]		[As above]					
O (E) We do not manage material ESG risks and opportunities post-investment							

Explanatory notes	
Purpose of indicator	This indicator aims to capture how an organisation uses the management of material ESG factors to create value during the holding period of an investment. It is considered good practice for investors and/or their third-party property managers to develop ESG action plans that can be updated according to ongoing performance to create value during the holding period of an investment.



	ESG risks and ESG opportunities should be re-assessed continuously to better understand the performance of the investment portfolio and to identify areas for continuous improvement. Doing this in a structural way allows the signatory to assess ESG performance within and amongst portfolios and to potentially assess the performance of other investment managers.					
Other resources	For further guidance, see An introduction to responsible. For more information, see the BII (formerly CDC Grounds)					
Reference to other standards	GRESB 2022 Real Estate Assessment: RM 3.1 and 3.2					
Logic						
Dependent on	[00 21]					
Gateway to	N/A					
Assessment						
	100 points for this indicator divided between lettered (50 points) and coverage (50 points) answer options. The final score will be based on the highest-scoring combination of lettered and coverage answer options.					
Assessment criteria	50 points for the lettered answer options: 50 points for all 3 selections from A–C. 33 points for 2 selections from A–C. 16 points for 1 selection from A–C. 0 points for D, E. 50 points for the coverage: Per answer selection A to C, each option will be worth the following proportion: 50/3 points for all (1). 25/3 points for a majority (2). 12/3 points for a minority (3). Further details: Selecting 'E' will result in 0/100 points for this indicator.					
'Other' scored as	Selecting Other (D) will not be counted by the scoring	riteria, provide	ed answer options have been identified as capturing goo	od practice.		
Multiplier	Moderate					

Indicator ID	Dependent on:	00 21	Sub-section	PRI Principle	Type of indicator		
RE 15	Gateway to:	N/A	Monitoring	1, 2	PLUS VOLUNTARY TO DISCLOSE		
Describe how you ensure that material ESG risks are adequately addressed in the real estate investments where you hold a minority stake.							
[Voluntary free text: large]							

Explanatory notes	Explanatory notes					
Purpose of indicator	This indicator provides an opportunity for minority investors to describe how they seek to work with other investors or partners to ensure that material ESG risks are adequately addressed in their real estate investments. Even where minority investors are not in a position to directly influence the consideration and management of ESG risks, it is considered good practice to work with other investors and partners to develop a common agenda or approach to ESG and support measures – to the extent possible – that will help implement that agenda.					
Logic						
Dependent on	[00 21]					
Gateway to	N/A					
Assessment						
Not assessed						



Indicator ID RE 16	Dependent on:	00 21	Sub-section PRI Principle	PRI Principle	Type of indicator			
	Gateway to:	N/A	Monitoring	1, 2	PLUS VOLUNTARY TO DISCLOSE			
Describe how you	Describe how your ESG action plans are currently defined, implemented and monitored throughout the investment period.							
[Voluntary free text: large]								

Explanatory notes	
Purpose of indicator	This indicator provides the signatory with the opportunity to describe what practices they have used in ESG action plans throughout the investment period and their use in creating value and managing risks. It is important to have appropriate systems in place to manage issues on an ongoing basis, including writing an action plan for each asset to identify ways to improve ESG performance.
Other resources	For further guidance, see An introduction to responsible investment: real estate. For more information, see the BII (formerly CDC Group) guidance on ESG action plans.
Reference to other standards	GRESB 2022 Real Estate Assessment: RM3.1 and 3.2
Logic	
Dependent on	[00 21]
Gateway to	N/A
Assessment	
Not assessed	



Indicator ID	Dependent on:	00 21	Sub-section	PRI Principle	Type of indicator
RE 17	Gateway to:	N/A	Monitoring	1	CORE

What proportion of your real estate assets has obtained a green or sustainable building certification?

- O (A) All of our real estate assets have obtained a green or sustainable building certification
- O (B) A majority of our real estate assets have obtained a green or sustainable building certification
- O (C) A minority of our real estate assets have obtained a green or sustainable building certification
- O (D) None of our real estate assets have obtained a green or sustainable building certification

Explanatory notes	
Purpose of indicator	This indicator assesses the proportion of an organisation's real estate assets that have obtained a green or sustainable building certification to determine the quality of the assets invested in, providing benefits for the occupants, society and the environment. Building certifications and ratings give credibility to the sustainability performance of a building and serve as an additional layer of transparency and accountability to inform investors and occupiers of the ESG performance of an asset. It is considered good practice to obtain a green or sustainable building certification for all real estate assets held.
Additional reporting	See GRESB building certification schemes for a list of design and/or construction green building certification schemes.
guidance	GRESB definition for 'Green building rating standard': A rating system or certificate for real estate assets that uses a wide set of environmental criteria. Successful completion of the rating assessment typically results in the award of a certificate that records (a) the completion of the rating assessment process and (b) the level achieved.
	See Sustainable real estate investment: Implementing the Paris Climate agreement - An action framework for more information on sustainability in real estate investment.
Other resources	For further guidance, see GRESB's real estate reference guide.
	For more information on green building rating tools, see World Green Building Council – Rating tools.
Reference to other standards	GRESB 2022 Real Estate Assessment: BC1.1, 1.2 and 2 – Performance: Building Certifications (Building Certifications)



Logic		
Dependent on	[00 21]	
Gateway to	N/A	
Assessment		
Assessment criteria	100 points for this indicator. 100 points for A. 66 points for B. 33 points for C. 0 points for D.	Further details: Selecting 'D' will result in 0/100 points for this indicator.
Multiplier	Low	

STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT [RE 18, RE 19]

Indicator ID	Dependent on:	00 21		Sub-section	PRI Principle	Type of indicator
RE 18	Gateway to:	N/A	S	takeholder engagement	1, 2	CORE
How does your th	ird-party propert	y manager(s) engage with ten	ants?			
If you are a proper	ty manager, repon	t on your direct tenant engageme	ent.			
☐ (A) They engage and/or waste p		tenants on energy, water consu	ımption	[Dropdown list] (1) for all of our buildings or properties (2) for a majority of our buildings or pr (3) for a minority of our buildings or pr	operties	
, , , , , ,	-	tenants by organising tenant ev lity awareness, ESG training and		[As above]		
□ (C) They engage	ge with real estate	tenants by offering green lease	S	[As above]		
1 , ,	=	tenants by identifying collaborars related to material ESG factors		[As above]		
☐ (E) They engage from equipment	-	tenants by offering shared finan	ncial benefits	[As above]		
□ (F) Other Specify:	_ [Mandatory free	text: small]		[As above]		
O (G) Our third-p	arty property man	ager(s) do not engage with tena	nts			



Explanatory notes				
Purpose of indicator	contributing to the environmental and societal impacts	s of the building erties. Consequ	ently, organisations can better anticipate and respond t	or third-party property manager to engage with property
Additional reporting guidance	The coverage for each answer option should be base In this indicator, 'tenant' refers to a person or group the In this indicator, 'targets' may describe changes in en	nat occupies a h	Ç	nt.
Other resources	See Sustainable Real Estate Investment: Implementing	ng the Paris Clir	nate Agreement - An Action Framework for more inform	nation on sustainability in real estate investment.
Reference to other standards	GRESB 2022 Real Estate Assessment: TC1 and TC4	l – Performance	: Tenants & Community (Tenants/Occupiers)	
Logic				
Dependent on	[00 21]			
Gateway to	N/A			
Assessment				
	100 points for this indicator divided between lettered (lettered and coverage answer options.	(50 points) and	coverage (50 points) answer options. The final score wi	Il be based on the highest-scoring combination of
Assessment criteria	50 points for the lettered answer options: 50 points for 4 or more selections from A–E. 33 points for 3 selections from A–E. 16 points for 1–2 selections from A–E. 0 points for F, G.	AND	50 points for the coverage: Per answer selection A to E, each option will be worth the following proportion: 50/4 points for all (1). 25/4 points for a majority (2). 12/4 points for a minority (3).	Further details: Selecting 'G' will result in 0/100 points for this indicator.
'Other' scored as	Selecting Other (F) will not be counted by the scoring	criteria, provide	ed answer options have been identified as capturing goo	od practice.
Multiplier	Moderate			



Indicator ID RE 19	Dependent on: Gateway to:	OO 21	Sub-section Stakeholder engagement	PRI Principle	Type of indicator PLUS VOLUNTARY TO DISCLOSE
During the reporting	ng vear. how did	you or the organisations oper	rating on your behalf engage with the local commi	unity above and bevo	and what is required

During the reporting year, how did you or the organisations operating on your behalf engage with the local community above and beyond what is required by relevant regulations for asset design, use and/or repurposing?

[Voluntary free text: large]

Explanatory notes	
Purpose of indicator	This indicator aims to understand the methods used by the signatory to respond to community needs and to improve place-based design and operation practices.
Additional reporting guidance	'Community engagement' covers engagements with stakeholders located outside, but often close to, properties; it generally includes members of the public, whether individuals or organised groups. Engagement can refer to communication, interaction and any relationships between your organisation and a stakeholder group. If you have dedicated community engagement programs, specify the objectives of these programs in the community and the outcomes they typically intend to deliver. Your description could cover how your organisation and/or your third-party property managers measure and monitor the impact of your community engagement programme, including whether your community engagements have led to significant changes and to what extent you and/or your third-party property managers influenced these changes.
Logic	
Dependent on	[00 21]
Gateway to	N/A
Assessment	
Not assessed	



EXIT [RE 20]

Indicator ID	Dependent on:	00 21		Sub-section	PRI Principle	Type of indicator
RE 20	Gateway to:	N/A		Exit	4, 6	CORE
During the reporti	ng year, what res	sponsible investment informat	tion was sha	red with potential buyers of real estat	e investments?	
□ (A) Our firm's h are a PRI signa	•	nent to responsible investment, e	e.g. that we	[Dropdown list] (1) for all of our real estate investment (2) for a majority of our real estate inve (3) for a minority of our real estate inve	estments	
☐ (B) A description with, e.g. TCFD	•	and asset class standards our	firm aligns	[As above]		
, ,	esponsible investr n-specific approa	ment policy (at minimum, a sumr	mary of key	[As above]		
□ (D) Our firm's E and/or with exte		ent methodology (topics covered	d in-house	[As above]		
□ (E) The outcom	ne of our latest ES	G risk assessment of the proper	rty(s)	[As above]		
□ (F) Key ESG po	erformance data o	on the property(s) being sold		[As above]		
□ (G) Other Specify:	_ [Mandatory free	text: small]		[As above]		



- O (H) No responsible investment information was shared with potential buyers of real estate investments during the reporting year
- O (I) Not applicable; we had no sales process (or control over the sales process) during the reporting year

Explanatory notes				
Purpose of indicator	of the seller.	rior to a sale, in	creasing the completeness of information available to	the buyer and demonstrating good stewardship on behalf
	informed decision about the asset and its value and av	-		G risks and opportunities, enabling them to make a more erial ESG factors.
Other resources	For further guidance, see An introduction to responsible	e investment: re	eal estate.	
Logic				
Dependent on	[00 21]			
Gateway to	N/A			
Assessment				
	100 points for this indicator divided between lettered (5 lettered and coverage answer options.	60 points) and c	overage (50 points) answer options. The final score wi	II be based on the highest-scoring combination of
Assessment criteria	50 points for the lettered answer options: 50 points for 4 or more selections from A–F; MUST include 1 or more selections from D–F. 33 points for 3 selections from A–F. 16 points for 1–2 selections from A–F. 0 points for G, H.	AND	50 points for the coverage: Per answer selection A to F, each option will be worth the following proportion: 50/4 points for all (1). 25/4 points for a majority (2). 12/4 points for a minority (3).	Further details: Selecting 'E' will result in 0/100 points for this indicator. Selecting 'I' means the indicator is scored as N/A. Signatories will not be penalised for this indicator.



'Other' scored as	Selecting Other (G) will not be counted by the scoring criteria, provided answer options have been identified as capturing good practice.	
Multiplier	Moderate	



DISCLOSURE OF ESG PORTFOLIO INFORMATION [RE 21]

Indicator ID RE 21	Dependent on:	OO 21	Sub-section Dislcosure of ESG portfolio information	PRI Principle	Type of indicator
Juring the report	ing year, now did	you report on your targets	on material ESG factors and related data to your inve	estors?	
, ,	• .	cly disclosed sustainability rep			
☐ (B) We reported		rough formal reporting to investigate			
7 (C) Me reporte	at the property	level through formal reporting			
` '	ed through a limite	d partners advisory committee	e (or equivalent)		
☐ (D) We reporte	•	d partners advisory committed sical events or meetings with i	, , ,		
☐ (D) We reporte	ed at digital or phy	d partners advisory committed sical events or meetings with o ensure that serious ESG inci	investors		
□ (D) We reporte □ (E) We reporte □ (F) We had a	ed at digital or phy	sical events or meetings with	investors		
☐ (D) We reporte ☐ (E) We reporte ☐ (F) We had a ☐ (G) Other	ed at digital or phy	sical events or meetings with o ensure that serious ESG inci	investors		

Explanatory notes		
Duran on at in disease.	This indicator aims to understand a signatory's specific approach to the communication of targets on material ESG factors and related data to its real estate assets. It allows signatories to demonstrate how widely available they have made information on their responsible investment practices. It is considered good practice for signatories to disclose information about their investment activities to the public and investors annually or more frequently.	
Purpose of indicator	Private market investments are less transparent than public investment markets. As a result, private market investment managers have an additional responsibility to be transparent to investors and proactively report ESG-related portfolio information. In addition, some jurisdictions are currently developing regulations requiring investment managers to report some ESG-related portfolio information to their clients.	
Reference to other standards	For examples of an industry-led set of standardised ESG metrics, see GRESB and ESG Data Convergence Project.	



Logic			
Dependent on	[00 21]		
Gateway to	N/A		
Assessment			
Assessment criteria	100 points for this indicator. 100 points for 5 or more selections from A–F; MUST include A. 66 points for 4 selections from A–F; MUST include A. 33 points for 1–3 selections from A–F; MUST include A (OR 2–5 selections from B–F). 0 points for 1 selection from B–G OR H.	Further details: Selecting 'H' will result in 0/100 points for this indicator.	
'Other' scored as	Selecting Other (G) will not be counted by the scoring criteria, provided answer options have been identified as capturing good practice.		
Multiplier	Moderate		



Copyright © 2022 PRI Association All Rights Reserved